Attachment 1

_	_		. .		
()	n	n	te	n	TS

Attachment 1	
Part 2: District Wide Matters	1
Part 2: Strategic Directions	1
Part 2: Strategic Directions – Introduction	1
Part 2: Strategic Directions – Objectives	2
Part 2: District Wide Matters	5
Part 2: Strategic Directions	5
Part 2: Urban Form and Development – Objectives	5
Part 2: Urban Form and Development – Policies	6
Part 2: District Wide Matters	13
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Energy and Infrastructure	13
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Energy and Infrastructure: Introduction	
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Energy and Infrastructure: Objectives	13
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Energy and Infrastructure: Policies	13
Part 2: General District-wide Matters: Activity Rules	17
Part 2: General District-wide Matters: Matters of Discretion	20
Part 2: District Wide Matters	21
Part 2: Transport	21
Part 2: Transport – Objectives	21
Part 2: Transport – Policies	21
Part 2: Transport – Rules	24

Part 2: Transport – Assessment Matters	28
Part 2: District Wide Matters	31
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Hazards and Risks - Contaminated land	31
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Contaminated land: Introduction	31
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Contaminated land: Objective	31
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Contaminated land: Policies	32
Part 2: District Wide Matters	33
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Hazards and Risks – Natural Hazards	33
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Natural Hazards	33
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Natural Hazards: Objective	34
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Natural Hazards: Policies	35
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Natural Hazards: Activity rules	35
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Natural Hazards: Standards	36
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Natural Hazards: Matters of Discretion	36
Part 2: District Wide Matters	37
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Earthworks	37
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Earthworks: Objectives and Policies	37
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Earthworks: Activity Rules	37
Part 2: District Wide Matters	38
Part 2: District Wide Matters – General District-wide matters	38
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Light	39
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Signs	39
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Temporary activities	39
Part 2: District Wide Matters	40

Part 2: District Wide Matters – General District-wide matters	40
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Noise	40
Part 2: District Wide Matters	42
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Subdivision Wāwāhia whenua	42
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Subdivision: Introduction	42
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Subdivision: Objectives	42
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Subdivision: Policies	42
Part 2: General District-wide Matters: Subdivision – Rules	44
Part 2: General District-wide Matters: Subdivision – Standards	46
Table SUB-1: Minimum allotment sizes and dimensions	46
Part 2: General District-wide Matters: Subdivision – Matters of Control and Discretion	49
Part 3: Area Specific Matters	52
Part 3: Area Specific Matters – General Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zones	52
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - General Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zones: Introduction	52
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - General Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zones: Objectives	52
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - General Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zones: Policies	54
Part 3: Area Specific Matters	58
Part 3: Area Specific Matters – General Residential Zone	58
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - General Residential Zone: Introduction	58
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - General Residential Zone: Objective	58
Part 3: Area Specific Matters – General Residential Zone: Policies	59
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - General Residential Zone: Rules	60
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - General Residential Zone – Built Form Standards	64
Part 3: Area Specific Matters	69

Part 3: Area Specific Matters – Medium Density Residential Zone	69
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - Medium Density Residential Zone: Introduction	69
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - Medium Density Residential Zone: Objectives	69
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - Medium Density Residential Zone: Policies	69
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - Medium Density Residential Zone: Rules	71
Part 3: Area Specific Matters - Medium Density Residential Zone – Built Form Standards	76
Part 3: Area Specific Matters	81
Part 3: District Wide Matters – Commercial and Mixed Use Zones	81
Part 3: District Wide Matters – CMUZ – General Objectives and Policies	81
Part 3: District Wide Matters – Neighbourhood Centre Zone	82
Part 3: District Wide Matters – NCZ –Objectives and Policies	82
Part 3: District Wide Matters – NCZ –Activity Rules	83
Part 3: District Wide Matters – NCZ –Built Form Standards	83
Part 3: District Wide Matters – Local Centre Zone	85
Part 3: District Wide Matters – LCZ –Objectives and Policies	85
Part 3: District Wide Matters – LCZ –Activity Rules	86
Part 3: District Wide Matters – LCZ –Built form standards	86
Part 3: District Wide Matters – Mixed Use Zone	88
Part 3: District Wide Matters – MUZ –Objectives and Policies	88
Part 3: District Wide Matters – MUZ –Activity Rules	88
Part 3: District Wide Matters – MUZ –Built Form Standards	89
Part 3: District Wide Matters – Town Centre Zone	91
Part 3: District Wide Matters – TCZ –Objectives and Policies	91
Part 3: District Wide Matters – TCZ –Activity Rules	91

Part 3: District Wide Matters – TCZ –Built form standards	. 92
Part 3: District Wide Matters: CMUZ: Matters of Discretion for all Commercial and Mixed Use Zones	. 95

Please note: Where a specific objective, policy, matter for discretion, rule or rule requirement is not stated then Kāinga Ora are neutral in respect of the proposed provision.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: District Wi			
Part 2: Strategic D	Directions Directions – Introduct	ion	
Interpretation and application of this chapter	Oppose in part	The interpretative notes for this chapter state that (our emphasis): For the purpose of District Plan implementation, including the determination of resource consent applications: 1. the SD may provide guidance for related objectives and policies in other chapters; and 2. the relevant objectives and policies of the DP, including SD in this chapter, are to be considered together and no hierarchy exists between them. Kāinga Ora seeks changes to clarify the relationship between the SD Chapter and other chapters in the proposed Plan. In Kāinga Ora's view the Strategic Directions Chapter should have primacy over the other objectives and policies in other chapters of the Plan.	Amend as follows: For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation, including the determination of resource consent applications: 1. the SD may provides guidance for related objectives and policies in other chapters; and 2. the relevant objectives and policies of the DP, including SD in this chapter, are to be considered together, with the SD having primacy over other objectives and policies of the District Plan. and no hierarchy exists between them.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: Strategic D	oirections – Objective	S	
SD-01 Natural Environment	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective.	Retain objective as notified.
SD-02 Urban Development	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this objective, but considers that changes are required to better reflect the requirements of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPSUD). In particular, Kāinga Ora consider that amendments are required to: 1. clause 1 to reflect the outcomes sought for well-functioning urban environments; 2. clause 2 to recognise that urban environments (including amenity values) change over time through planned urban growth and intensification; 3. clause 3 to enable a range and mix of housing typologies; and 4. recognises policy 2 of the NPSUD which requires that there shall be at all times, at least, sufficient development capacity to meet expected demand for housing. Kāinga Ora also questions whether clause 10, which deals with sites of significance to Ngāi Tūāhuriri, should be deleted as it appears to duplicate SD-05. If Council wishes to retain	 Amend, as follows: Urban development and infrastructure that: is consolidated and integrated with the well-functioning urban environments; that recognises existing character, planned urban form and amenity values, and is attractive and functional to residents, businesses and visitors; utilises the District Council's reticulated wastewater system, and potable water supply and stormwater infrastructure where available; provides a range and mix of housing opportunities, focusing new residential activity within existing towns, and identified development areas in Rangiora and Kaiapoi, in order to achieve at all times at least the housing bottom lines in UFD-O1; supports a hierarchy of urban centres, with the District's main centres in Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Oxford and Woodend being: a. the primary centres for community facilities; b. the primary focus for retail, office and other commercial activity; and

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		clause 10 in its current form, it should be subsumed into SD-05. Kāinga Ora notes for completeness that an equivalent of clause 10 does not appear in SD-04; reinforcing the view that clause 10 is best located in SD-05	c. the focus around which residential development and intensification can occur. 6. provides opportunities for business activities to establish and prosper within a network of business and industrial areas zoned appropriate to their type and scale of activity and which support district self-sufficiency; 7. provides people with access to a network of spaces within urban environments for open space and recreation; 8. supports the transition of the Special Purpose Zone (Kāinga Nohoanga) to a unique mixture of urban and rural activities reflecting the aspirations of Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga; 9. provides limited opportunities for Large Lot Residential development in identified areas, subject to adequate infrastructure; and 10. recognise and support Ngāi Tūāhuriri cultural values through the protection of sites and areas of significance to Māori identified in SASM-SCHED1.
SD-03 Energy and Infrastructure	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this objective.	Retain objective as notified.
SD-05 Ngāi Tahu mana whenua/Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective.	Retain objective as notified.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
SD-06 Natural hazards and resilience	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this objective with amendments. In certain circumstances, where you cannot avoid you should consider to minimise subdivision, use and development where the risk is unacceptable.	Amend, as follows: The District responds to natural hazard risk, including increased risk as a result of climate change, through: 1. avoiding or minimising subdivision, use and development where the risk is unacceptable; and 2. mitigating other natural hazard risks.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: District Wi			
Part 2: Strategic D			
	m and Development		
UFD-01 Feasible development capacity for residential activities	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this objective, but considers that changes are required to reflect the requirements of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPSUD). In particular, Kāinga Ora notes that policy 2 of the NPSUD specifically requires that there shall be at all times, at least, sufficient development capacity to meet expected demand for housing. The current wording of the objective does not align with policy 2 of the NPSUD.	Amend, as follows: There is, at all times, at least Soufficient feasible development capacity for residential activity to meet specified housing bottom lines and a changing demographic profile of the District as follows
UFD-02 Feasible development capacity for commercial activities and industrial activities	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this objective, but considers that changes are required to reflect the requirements of the NPSUD. In particular, Kāinga Ora notes that policy 2 of the NPSUD specifically requires that there shall be at all times, at least, sufficient development capacity to meet expected demand for business land. Moreover, the policy requires provision of such capacity over the short, medium and long term. The current wording of the objective does not align with policy 2 of the NPSUD.	Amend, as follows: There is, at all times, at least S-sufficient feasible development capacity to meet commercial and industrial development demand over the short term, medium term and the long term.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		title for UFD-02 refers to "commercial" and "business" activities, rather than the term "business land", as used in the NPSUD. The objective itself then refers to "commercial and industrial development demand". "Business land" is defined in the UFD-02 and refers to a range of zones in urban environments. Kāinga Ora accept that the manner in which "commercial" and "business" activities are defined in the proposed District Plan, cover the range of business activities anticipated under policy 2 of the NPSUD.	
Part 2: Urban For	m and Development	– Policies	
UFD-P1 Density of Residential Development	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy in part with amendments sought. Amendments help to provide clarity to the application of the medium density residential zoning in Waimakariri and ensure UFD-P1 and UFD-P2 are aligned in application.	Amend as follows: Density of residential development In relation to the density of residential development: 1. provide for intensification in urban environments through provision for minor residential units, retirement villages, papakāinga or suitable up-zoning of Residential Zones where it is consistent with the anticipated built form and purpose of the zone; 2. locate any Medium Density Residential Zone so it: a. supports, and has ready access to, existing or planned Commercial and Mixed Use Zones, schools, existing or planned public transport and open space; b. supports well connected walkable communities;

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			 c. avoids or mitigates natural hazard risk in any high hazard area within existing urban areas; and d. located away from does not immediately adjoin any Heavy Industrial Zone.
UFD-P2 Identification/ location of new Residential Development Areas	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy, but considers that a minor amendment is required to clause 2.a which requires that new residential development areas are attached to existing urban environments. Kāinga Ora consider that the reference to being "attached" is unnecessary, as SD-02 refers to urban development being "consolidated and integrated" with urban environments. SD-02 does not require "attachment". Within this context Kāinga Ora consider that the term "attached" should be replaced with "integrated". Further amendments are also sought to align to Policy 6 of the NPSUD and recognising that amenity values will change and develop overtime in response to providing for increased and varied housing densities and types that is different to what is there now across Waimakariri.	Identification/location of new Residential Development Areas In relation to the identification/location of residential development areas: 1. residential development in the new Residential Development Areas at Kaiapoi, North East Rangiora, South East Rangiora and West Rangiora is located to implement the urban form identified in the Future Development Strategy; 2. for new Residential Development Areas, other than those identified by (1) above, avoid residential development unless located so that they: a. occur in a form that concentrates, or are integrated with attached to, an existing urban environment and promotes a coordinated pattern of development; b. occur in a manner that makes use of existing and planned transport and three waters infrastructure, or where such infrastructure is not available, upgrades, funds and builds infrastructure as required; c. have good accessibility for all people between to housing, jobs, community services, natural spaces, and open spaces, including by way of public or active transport;

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			d. concentrate higher and medium density residential housing in locations focusing on activity nodes such as key commercial centres and mixed use activity centres, schools, public transport routes and open space; e. take into account the need to provide for intensification of residential development while maintaining managing appropriate levels of amenity values on surrounding sites and streetscapes that will change and develop overtime in response to providing increased and varied housing densities and types; f. are informed through the development of an ODP;
UFD-P4 Identification/ Iocation and extension of Town Centre Zones	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this policy in part with amendments sought. Names of growth strategies and plans constantly change. It is also unclear to what town centre plans might be developed and the detail content of those plans are unknown – therefore it is best to delete any reference to implement and give effect to any Town Centre Plans unless these can be explicitly referenced and outlined in the PDP. Consequential amendments will be required throughout the document with proposed change.	Amend, as follows: Provide for the extension of existing Town Centres and locate and develop new commercial activities to implement the urban form identified in the Future Development Strategy or Council's arowth strategy, WDDS or Town Centre Plans.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
UFD-P5 Identification/ location and extension of Industrial Zones	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this policy in part with amendments sought. Names of growth strategies and plans constantly change; Kāinga Ora seeks deletion to any specific document names such as the WDDS. It is also unclear to what town centre plans are, which ones might be developed and the content of these plans are unknown – therefore it is best to delete any reference to implement and give effect to any Town Centre Plans unless these can be explicitly referenced and outlined in the PDP. Consequential amendments will be required to delete any reference to WDDS and Town Centre Plans throughout the PDP.	Amend, as follows: Provide for the extension of existing Town Centres and locate and develop new commercial activities to implement the urban form identified in the Future Development Strategy or Council's growth strategy, WDDS or Town Centre Plans.
UFD-P6 Mechanism to release Residential Development Areas	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy in part with amendments. It is unclear what the certification process may entail. Any release of new urban land should align with the Future Development Strategy or Council's growth strategy; especially the release of land per the timeframes set out in the growth strategy.	Amend, as follows: The release of land within the identified new development areas of Kaiapoi, North East Rangiora and South East Rangiora occurs in an efficient and timely manner generally aligned to the Future Development Strategy or Council's growth strategy via a certification process—to enable residential activity to meet short to medium-term feasible development capacity and achievement of housing bottom lines.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
UFD-P7 Mechanism to provide additional Commercial and Mixed Use zones	Support in part	 Kāinga Ora generally supports this objective, but considers that: 1. the use of the term "mechanism" in the title is incorrect. The policy does not describe a mechanism or process; rather, it prescribes criteria for the consideration of plan change proposals; 2. for certainty, the criteria should ensure that any such rezoning proposal does not detract from the housing development capacity required under SD-O2 and UFD-O1; and 3. minor editing changes to align the policy wording with other policies in the Urban form and development section. 	Amend, as follows: Mechanism to pProvidinge additional Commercial and Mixed Use Zones If proposed, ensure any plan change to create new, or expanded existing Commercial and Mixed Use Zones: 1. improve commercial self-sufficiency within the town and the Waimakariri District; 2. are commensurate to align with the population growth forecast for the District town subject to the plan change; 3. consider and address any adverse effects that might undermine affect other town centres and local centres form, function and role in the District; and 4. address any development capacity shortfall as identified in the Future Development Strategy or WDDS.; 5. does not reduce housing development capacity sought under SD-02 and UFD-01; and 6. is informed through the development of an ODP.
UFD-P8 Mechanism to provide additional Industrial Zones	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this objective, but considers that: 1. the use of the term "mechanism" in the title is incorrect. The policy does not describe a mechanism or process; rather, it prescribes criteria for the consideration of plan change proposals; 2. for certainty, the criteria should ensure that any such rezoning proposal does not detract from the housing development capacity required under SD-O2 and UFD-O1; and	Amend, as follows: Mechanism to pProvidinge additional Industrial Zones If proposed, ensure any plan change to create new, or expanded existing Industrial Zones: 1. manages adverse effects at the interface between Industrial Zones and arterial roads, Rural Zones, Residential Zones and Open Space and Recreation Zones, through methods such as building setbacks and landscaping; 2. provides for development of greenfield areas in a manner aligned with the delivery of infrastructure, including upgrades to infrastructure, to avoid adverse effects on the

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		3. minor editing changes.	capacity and efficiency of infrastructure serving these areas; and 3. locates new Industrial Zones in locations adjacent to existing urban environments where it can be efficiently serviced by infrastructure.; 4. does not reduce housing development capacity sought under SD-02 and UFD-01; and 5. is informed through the development of an ODP.
UFD-P9 Unique purpose and character of the Special Purpose Zone (Kāinga Nohoanga)	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this policy as notified.	Retain as notified.
UFD-P10 Managing reverse sensitivity effects from new development	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the policy as proposed and seeks an amendment to UFD-P10(1). The use of "avoid" will mean no new residential activity could be located or enabled close to any infrastructure. The policy should direct a 'minimise' policy with regards to the location of new residential activity rather than avoid, as the ODP process and UFD policies above should have identified the location and application of new residential areas that does not restrict or inhibit the operation and upgrade of any critical infrastructure, strategic infrastructure and regionally	Amend, as follows: Within Residential Zones and new development areas in Rangiora and Kaiapoi: 1. avoid minimise the location of new residential activity that has the potential to limit or compromise the efficient and effective operation and upgrade of critical infrastructure, strategic infrastructure, and regionally significant infrastructure, including avoiding noise sensitive activities within the Christchurch Airport Noise Contour, unless within an existing Residential Zone;

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		significant infrastructure. A minimise policy will also provide the opportunity for any application to be considered on its merits to see if it can enable some level of residential activity to occur without compromising the relevant infrastructure/s.	minimise reverse sensitivity effects on primary production from activities within new development areas through setbacks and screening, without compromising the efficient delivery of new development areas.
		Kāinga Ora also opposes all provisions related to the Airport Noise Contour in the PDP and seeks all relevant airport noise contour provisions in the PDP including objectives, policies, rules and standards (with any associated tables, figures and overlays) are amended in the PDP.	

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: District W			
	ide Matters – Energy		
	1	and Infrastructure: Introduction	Determine the state of
Introduction	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the introduction as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 2: District W	ide Matters - Energy	and Infrastructure: Objectives	
EI-O1 and EI-O2	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these objectives as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-O3	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this objective, but suggests additional wording to note that sometimes there are constraints for network utilities, such as existing sensitive activities or heritage or environmental constraints.	Amend, as follows: The safe, efficient and effective operation, maintenance, repair, renewal, upgrading and development of energy and infrastructure is not unreasonably-constrained or compromised by other activities and development, including by reverse sensitivity effects.
Part 2: District W	ide Matters - Energy	and Infrastructure: Policies	
EI-P1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this policy with amendments.	Amend, as follows: Recognise the local, regional or national benefits of energy and infrastructure through: 1. enabling the operation, maintenance, repair, renewal, removal and minor upgrade of energy and infrastructure; 2. providing for more than minor or significant upgrades to existing, and the development of new, energy and infrastructure; 3. providing for energy and infrastructure that serves as a lifeline utility during an emergency, including critical infrastructure, strategic infrastructure and regionally

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			significant infrastructure; 4. providing for the effective, safe, secure and efficient electricity transmission, including on the National Grid transmission lines, major electricity distribution lines, and supply of fuel and energy;
EI-P2	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the policy as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-P3	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the policy as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-P4	Oppose in part	Kāinga Ora opposes the policy with amendments as it can only be promoted and certain aspects need to be deleted as these are undertaken in a separate process.	Amend, as follows: Environmentally sustainable outcomes
			 Promote Seek more environmentally sustainable outcomes, where possible associated with energy and infrastructure; including by promoting: 1. the use of green infrastructure; 2. the increased utilisation of renewable resources; 3. the use of low impact approaches (such as in site, route or structure selection or construction methodology); 4. using low carbon materials in construction; 5. changing the way activities that generate high greenhouse gas emissions are delivered; 6. offsetting greenhouse gas emissions through activities such as planting carbon sequestering trees or the establishment and restoration of wetlands; 7. energy efficiency and conservation practices, including use of energy efficient design, renewable energy and

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			renewable electricity generation; and 8. building design with a Homestar™ certification rating of at least 6 for residential buildings, or a Green Star rating of at least 4 for commercial buildings, to assist in reducing energy consumption and greenhouse gasemissions.
EI-P5	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the policy with amendments to link back to the objective.	Amend, as follows: Manage adverse effects of energy and infrastructure
			Manage adverse effects of energy and infrastructure on surrounding environments and community well-being, including by the following: 1. enabling or providing for the ongoing operation, maintenance, repair, renewal, removal and minor upgrade of existing energy and infrastructure; 2. avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects of more than minor upgrades to existing energy and infrastructure, including effects on: a. natural and physical resources; b. amenity values; c. sensitive activity; d. the safe and efficient operation of other infrastructure; e. the health, safety and well-being of people and communities;
EI-P6	Opposes in part	Kāinga Ora acknowledges the need for the PDP to give effect to the requirements of the National Policy Statement for Electricity	Amend, as follows:

Section/Sub- section/Provision in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
	Transmission (2008) (NPSET). However, NPSET is only applicable to the National Grid and not major electricity distribution lines. The NPSET does not apply and/or give the same legal effect to electricity distribution lines other than if it is identified to be the National Grid. Amendments sought and consequential amendments may be required in the PDP.	Effects of other activities and development on energy and infrastructure Manage adverse effects of other activities and development on energy and infrastructure, including by the following: 1. ensuring such effects do not compromise or constrain access to or the safe, effective and efficient operation, maintenance, repair, upgrading and development of energy and infrastructure; and 2. with regards to the National Grid and major electricity distribution lines, in addition to (1) above, by ensuring that: a. safe buffer distances are identified in the District Plan for managing the effects of incompatible activities and development on the National Grid and major electricity distribution lines including support structures; b. sensitive activity and development that may compromise the National Grid and major electricity distribution lines, including those associated with intensive farming activities, are excluded from establishing within identified safe buffer distances; c. changes to existing activities within identified safe buffer distances do not further constrain or restrict the operation, maintenance, repair, upgrading and development of the National Grid and major electricity distribution lines; and

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: General D	istrict-wide Matters:	Activity Rules	
EI-R1 EI-R2 EI-R3 EI-R4 EI-R6 EI-R8 EI-R10 EI-R15	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these activity rules as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-R16 EI-R20 EI-R24 EI-R25 EI-R28	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these rules as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-R42	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-R45	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-R46	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-R47	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the rule with amendments. Delete internal boundary reference. Further amendments are sought to the reference and title of the standard with the removal of 'internal boundary' and remain as 'setback'.	Amend, as follows: Where: 1. in Residential Zones, Special Purpose Zone (Kāinga Nohoanga), and Special Purpose Zone (Pines Beach and Kairaki Regeneration), new rainwater tanks shall comply with building height, road boundary and internal boundary setback requirements for the relevant zone.
EI-R51	Opposes in part	Kāinga Ora opposes distance of a fence	Amend, as follows:

-	pport/Support Part/Oppose		Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		requirement from the nearest grid support structure as often the fences are found to be a lot closer than 6m and infringing the rule will trigger a NC activity. A fence 6m beyond a National Grid support structure could take up a significant part of a landowner's property and infringing the rule to build a fence closer to the support structure to a NC activity/consent process seems unreasonable. Amendments sought. Similar changes sought to the max floor of a new non-habitable building as this will be difficult to monitor and manage. Amendment sought.	 Where: 1. the activities and development within a National Grid Yard in (a) to (i) below comply with the safe electrical clearance distances set out in the NZECP; and where the activities and development in (d) to (i) below are set back 12m from any National Grid support structure: a. network utilities (other than for the reticulation and storage of water in canals, dams or reservoirs including for irrigation purposes) undertaken by network utility operators; b. fences no greater than 2.5m in height above ground level and no closer than 6m from the nearest National Grid support structure; c. artificial crop protection and support structures between 8m and 12m from a single pole or pi-pole and any associated guy wire (but not a tower) that: i. meets the requirements of the NZECP 34:2001 New Zealand Electricity Code of Practice for Electricity Safe Distances for separation distances from the conductor; ii. is a maximum of 2.5m in height above ground level; iii. is removable or temporary, to allow clear working space 12m from the pole when necessary for maintenance and emergency repair purposes; iv. allows all weather access to the pole and a sufficient area for maintenance equipment, including a crane; d. any new non-habitable building less than 2.5m in

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			height above ground level and 10m² in floor area ;
EI-R52	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these activity rules as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-R54	Oppose	Kāinga Ora acknowledges the need for the PDP to give effect to the requirements of the National Policy Statement for Electricity Transmission (2008) (NPSET). However, NPSET is only applicable to the National Grid and not major electricity distribution lines. The proposed rule requires management of earthworks adjacent to the electricity distribution line. If this is of particular interest for electricity companies to manage in the PDP, then Kāinga Ora seeks the companies propose a designation to manage and spatially identify the properties subject to such provisions. The NPSET does not apply and/or give the same legal effect to electricity distribution lines other than if it is identified to be the National Grid. Amendments sought and consequential amendments may be required in the PDP.	
EI-R55 EI-R56	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these activity rules as proposed.	Retain as notified.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: General Di	strict-wide Matters:	Matters of Discretion	be required to give effect to the rener sought.
EI-MD1-14 (except listed below)	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the matters of discretion as proposed.	Retain as notified.
EI-MD4	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the matters of discretion with amendment.	
			Health and safety 1. The extent to which the infrastructure proposed will be located in close proximity to any sensitive activity, and the extent of any effect on human health.
EI-MD12	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the matters of discretion with amendment.	Amend, as follows:
			National Grid
			 The extent of any impacts on the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid. The risk to the structural integrity of any affected National Grid support structure(s). The extent of any impact on the ability of the National Grid owner (Transpower NZ Ltd) to access the National Grid. The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: District Wid	de Matters		
Part 2: Transport			
Part 2: Transport -	- Objectives		
TRAN-O1	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports the objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
TRAN-O2 Parking, loading area and associated access and manoeuvring area	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this objective, but considers that changes are required to better reflect the requirements of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPSUD). In particular, Kāinga Ora consider that the reference to "parking-demand" in clause 1 should be deleted.	Amend, as follows: Parking, where provided, loading area and associated access and manoeuvring area that: caters for access, parking demand and manoeuvring in an efficient, functional and sustainable manner
TRAN-O3	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports the objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
TRAN-04 Effects of activities on the transport system	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this objective, but considers that an amendment is required to clarify the application of the objective. The objective current refers to adverse effects being "avoided". The balance of the Plan provisions that flow from this objective ultimately seek to manage these effects. Given this, the word "avoided" should be deleted	Amend, as follows: Adverse effects on the District's transport system from activities, including reverse sensitivity, are-avoided, remedied or mitigated.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
TRAN-P1 TRAN-P4 TRAN-P5 TRAN-P6 TRAN-P7 TRAN-P8 TRAN-P9 TRAN-P10	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports these policies as proposed.	Retain as notified.
TRAN-P2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports the policy with amendments.	 Seek more Promote environmentally sustainable outcomes associated with transport, including by promoting: the use of public transport, active transport and sustainable forms of transport; the use of green infrastructure; the increased utilisation of renewable resources; the use of low impact approaches (such as in site, route or structure selection or construction methodology); using low carbon materials in construction; changing the way activities that generate high greenhouse gas emissions are delivered; offsetting greenhouse gas emissions through activities such as planting carbon sequestering trees or the establishment and restoration of wetlands; and energy efficiency and conservation practices.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
TRAN-P11 Parking and associated access and manoeuvring area	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy, but considers that amendments are required to: a. remove references to matters that are not relevant in a land use context (clause 6); and b. clarify the application of the policy (clauses 7 and 13)	Amend, as follows: Parking (where provided) and associated access and manoeuvring area shall ensure the following 6. manage adverse effects on water quality and stormwater runoff, preferably through the use of low- impact stormwater management methods, including water- sensitive design, and stormwater collection and attenuation of runoff; 7. be permanently marked and surfaced where required, and maintained to control the generation of dust,—or_ excessive noise,—or other nuisance; 13. be designed to positively contribute to town- centre amenity values and support town centre consolidation and the development of continuous street frontages within town centres, by locating parking principally within public parking areas, or by locating parking and vehicle access to the rear of sites or buildings, and not providing parking and vehicle access on individual site frontages, particularly on sites identified as having frontages to a Principal Shopping Street.
TRAN-P14 Adverse effects on amenity values of adjacent activities	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy with amendments.	Amend, as follows: Ensure adverse effects of more than minor or significant upgrades to, or the development of new, transport connections and land transport infrastructure are avoided, remedied or mitigated so that the effects of the activity maintain the amenity values of adjacent activities to the extent considered reasonably practicable, whilst providing for

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			the transport system to function efficiently and safely.
TRAN-P15 Effects of activities on the transport system	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy, but considers amendments are required for the reasons outlined above for TRAN-04.	Amend, as follows: Ensure, to the extent considered reasonably practicable, that other activities do not compromise the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, repair, upgrading or development of the transport system, including through: 2. avoiding, remedying or mitigating managing adverse reverse sensitivity effects on the transport system; and
Part 2: Transport -	- Rules		
TRAN-R2	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports these rules as proposed.	Retain as notified.
TRAN-R5 Formation of a new vehicle crossing TRAN-S3 Design Standards for new vehicle	Support in part	TRAN-R5 provides for the establishment of new vehicle crossings which comply with the design standards in TRAN-S3 as a permitted activity. Where the standards are not met, resource consent is required as a restricted discretionary activity. Kāinga Ora supports this approach. Given the nature of the effects being considered, however, Kāinga Ora is of the view that they should all be the subject of a non-	Insert the following text in TRAN-R5 (or TRAN-S3): Notification An application for a restricted discretionary activity under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but may be limited notified only to the relevant road controlling authority where the consent authority considers this is

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		notification provision.	
Table TRAN-17: Minimum separation distance for vehicle crossings from road intersections	Oppose	Table TRAN-17 establishes minimum separation distances for vehicle crossings from road intersections. Kāinga Ora opposes this rule in the current form because it considers the required separation distances are too onerous. It is recommended that the Council revisit these distances and <i>propose</i> new measurements. Kāinga Ora seeks the review of this table and consequential amendment so that the classifications are more appropriately set to effectively manage the safety and efficiency of the transport network, while recognising and providing for residential intensification.	Delete Table TRAN-17
TRAN-R20 All Zones High traffic generators Tables TRAN-1 and TRAN-2	Oppose in part	This package of provisions establishes traffic generation thresholds for the development of Integrated Traffic Assessments (Table TRAN-1), and determines the form of ITA required (Table TRAN-2). Where the thresholds are met, and an ITA required, this requires a resource consent as a restricted discretionary activity. Kāinga Ora has two concerns with this	Amend Table TRAN-1 as follows: Non-residential activities in Residential Zones / Special Purpose Zone (Kāinga Nohoanga), Special Purpose Zone (Pines Beach and Kairaki Regeneration) Amend Table TRAN-2 as follows:

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is sho for deletion and underline for addit amendments may be required to give sought.	ion. Consequential
		approach: a. it opposes residential activities being considered as high trip generating	Activity status under all other applicable rules	Type of ITA required
		activities. Kāinga Ora considers that the PDP should be enabling of residential	Permitted	Basic
		development and requiring an ITA for this type of development is onerous and	Controlled	Basic
		unnecessary. The current wording used in table 1 references zones, rather than	Restricted discretionary	Full <u>Basic</u>
		activities. While Kāinga Ora is comfortable with this approach, an amendment to the	Discretionary	Full
T. M. TOAM 2		 "Residential Zones" description used in the heading is required given the relief sought in this particular submission. b. Table TRAN-2 requires a full ITA for restricted discretionary activities. Kāinga Ora is of the view that this is onerous and should be aligned with the ITA requirement for permitted and controlled activities; i.e. a Basic ITA 	Non complying	Full
Table TRAN-3 Design standards for new roads where the posted speed limit is 50km/hr or less	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the rule in its current form; in particular the specified "road reserve" widths contained in the tables. These widths are excessive and wider streets/corridors create faster speed environments, which does not align with the "Living Streets" initiative of Waka Kotahi, PCC, or Kāinga Ora.	Delete Tables TRAN-3 and TRAN-4	

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Table TRAN-4 Design standards for new roads where the posted speed limit is 60km/hr or above		Kāinga Ora seeks the review of these tables and consequential amendments so that the classifications are more appropriately set to effectively manage the safety and efficiency of the transport network, while recognising and providing for residential intensification.	
Table TRAN-7 Design standards for new vehicle accessways	Oppose in part	Table TRAN-7 establishes minimum and maximum formation width for accessways, and also identifies where passing bays are required. Kāinga Ora is concerned that the formation requirements for more than 6 residential units is overlay prescriptive and may inhibit further residential intensification. For similar reasons, Kāinga Ora oppose the mandatory passing bay requirements for development involving 1-6 residential units.	Zone Number of legal formed m legal width al Units Minimum formed m legal formed m legal salunits Minimum formed m legal salunits Minimum formed m legal salunits Maximu ng legal formed m legal salunits Bays width Resi 1-3 5-54.5 3.0 4.0 Yes legal salunits dent 4-69 5-55.0 43.5 6.0 Yes legal salunits ial ⇒610+ 7-06.5 5-54.5 6.0 6.0

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
TRAN-R6 Formation of a new vehicle accessway	Oppose in part	TRAN-R6 prescribes permitted activity status for formation of new vehicle accessways. Clause 3 of the rule requires that where an accessway serves 6 or more sites, it must be designed to road standards as required in Table TRAN-3 or TRAN-4. Notwithstanding that Kāinga Ora has sought a review of these standards in submissions above, the requirement to form to road design standards for 6 or more sites is onerous and may inhibit further residential intensification.	Amend clause 3 of TRAN-R6 as follows:and 3. in the circumstances specified in (a) and (b) below, a new vehicle accessway shall be designed to the standard of a new road as per Table TRAN-3 or Table TRAN-4, with the applicable standard based on the posted speed limit of the road with which the accessway will connect: a. where any new vehicle accessway in Residential Zones or Rural Zones will serve six or more sites; or b. where vehicle movements on any new accessway will exceed 100 per day.
•	- Assessment Matter		
TRAN-MD2 Maximum number of vehicle crossings	Support in part	TRAN-MD2 provides assessment matters dealing with the maximum number of vehicle crossings. Clause 2 needs to be deleted, as the issue is addressed in clause 1. In addition, amendments are required to clause 4 to clarify its meaning and application. Consequential renumbering will be required.	Amend as follows: Maximum number of vehicle crossings 1. The extent to which the number of vehicle crossings will adversely affect the efficient and safe operation of the road. 2. The extent of any cumulative effects of the number of vehicle crossings when considered in the context of existing and future vehicle crossings in the vicinity.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
TRAN-MD3	Support in part	TRAN-MD3 provides assessment matters	 The extent to which any aspect(s) of road design or formation will mitigate adverse effects of the number of vehicle crossings. The extent to which any Management of adverse effects on existing landscaping, stormwater management or other infrastructure will be affected by the formation of vehicle crossings. Amend as follows:
Minimum separation distance between vehicle crossings		dealing with the separation distances between vehicle crossings. Amendments are required to assessment matter 1 to clarify its meaning and application.	Minimum separation distance between vehicle crossings 1. The extent to which any Management of adverse effects on existing landscaping or stormwater management or other infrastructure-will be affected by the location of vehicle crossings.
TRAN-MD4 Minimum separation distance for vehicle crossings from ro ad intersections and pedestrian crossing facility	Support in part	TRAN-MD4 provides assessment matters dealing with the separation distances between vehicle crossings and intersections. Amendments are required, as follows: a. Deletion of clause 4 as this is a matter that will be addresses via the ITA rules, where an ITA is required; b. Deletion of the reference to "future" vehicle crossings — as this cannot be determined; c. Deletion of clause 10 and incorporation into clause 3 — to avoid duplication of assessment matters. Consequential renumbering will be required.	Amend as follows: Minimum separation distance for vehicle crossings from road intersections and pedestrian crossing facility 1. The extent to which conflict may be created by vehicles queuing across the vehicle crossing. 2. The extent to which any potential confusion between vehicles turning at the crossing or the intersection may adversely affect safety. 3. The extent of effects on the safety of users of all transport modes and pedestrian crossing facilities. 4. The extent to which the number and type of vehicles generated by the activity on the site will adversely

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			affect the safe and efficient use of the frontage road, particularly at times of peak traffic flows. 5. The extent to which the speed and volume of vehicles on the road will exacerbate adverse effects of the vehicle crossing on the safety of users of all transport modes. 6. The extent to which the geometry of the frontage road and intersections will mitigate adverse effects of the vehicle crossing. 7. The extent to which there are present, or planned, traffic controls along the road corridor where the vehicle or pedestrian crossing is proposed. 8. The extent of any cumulative effects when considered in the context of existing and future vehicle crossings serving other activities in the vicinity. 9. The extent to which traffic mitigation or calming measures are proposed. 10. The extent to which the proximity of a vehicle crossing to a pedestrian crossing facility may adversely affect the safe use of the pedestrian crossing facility.
TRAN-MD5 Vehicle crossing design	Support in part	TRAN-MD5 provides assessment matters dealing with vehicle crossing design. Clause 1 should be deleted as it provides no metric for assessment and is covered by clause 2. Consequential renumbering will be required.	Amend as follows: Vehicle crossing design 1.—The number of pedestrian and cycle movements across the site frontage and the number and type of vehicles using the vehicle crossing.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: District Wide Matters			
Part 2: District Wide Matters – Hazards and Risks - Contaminated land Part 2: District Wide Matters – Contaminated land: Introduction			
Introduction	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports that this	Amend, as follows:
introduction	Support in part	chapter appropriately defers to the National	Sites are identified as contaminated when land has a hazardous substance in or on it that may have significant adverse effects on human health or the environment.
Part 2: District Wide Matters - Contaminated land: Objective			
CL-01	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports that this chapter appropriately defers to the National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health (NESCS). However, Kāinga Ora are concerned that the objectives and policies broaden the application of the NESCS by referencing the "environment". Amendments are also sought to make the connection to the NESCS clearer.	Amend, as follows: The subdivision, use and development of contaminated land does not have significant adverse effects on human health adversely affect people, property, and the environment.
New objective	Support	New objective proposed to recognise the	Insert new objective, as follows:

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may be
			required to give effect to the relief sought.
		positive effects associated with the	
		remediation of contaminated soils.	CL-O2 Positive benefits from treatment and remediation
			of contaminated land
			Remediation of contaminated land contributes to the health and
			wellbeing of communities, including increased availability of land
			for housing and business activities.
Part 2: District W	ide Matters – Contar	ninated land: Policies	
CL-P1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this policy as proposed.	Retain as notified.
CL-P2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seek amendments to this policy to	Amend, as follows:
		better align with the NESCS and remove	
		reference to 'environment'.	Require applications for subdivision, change of use or
			development of contaminated land, or potentially contaminated
			land, to include an investigation of investigate the risks and to
			remediate the contamination, or manage activities
			on contaminated land, to protect <u>human health</u> . the health of
			people and the environment. The remediation or mitigation
			works for contaminated land shall be undertaken in such a way to
			not pose further risk to human health or the environment than if
			remediation had not occurred.
CL-P3	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this policy as proposed.	Retain as notified.
CL-P4	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seek an amendment to remove	Amend, as follows:
		reference to environment.	
			Avoid adverse effects on human health the health of people and
			the environment from the disposal of soil from contaminated
			land.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
,			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
Part 2: District Wi	ide Matters		To require to 6,000 street to the relief coupling
		s and Risks – Natural Hazards	
Part 2: District Wi	ide Matters – Natura	l Hazards	
Overall Chapter and	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports the risk-based	Kāinga Ora seeks changes consistent with its overall submission
Planning Maps	''	approach to the management of natural	on the PDP. Key areas of relief sought in the Natural Hazards
		hazards.	chapter are (but not limited to):
		Consistent with its overall submission, Kāinga	1. Removal of the mapped Natural Hazard Overlays from
		Ora opposes flooding hazard information	within the PDP – Urban Flood Assessment Overlay and
		being incorporated as Urban-Flood	Non-Urban Flood Assessment Overlay, and the mapped
		Assessment Overlay and Non-Urban Flood	fixed floor level overlays; these should instead be included
		Assessment Overlay within the PDP, as these	as a non-statutory map layers in the Waimakariri District
		hazards are dynamic and subject to constant	Natural Hazards Interactive Viewer that sits outside the
		change through hazard mitigation works and	PDP;
		reshaping of ground contours.	2. Amendments to provisions and deletion of references to
			these overlays in the PDP and instead refer to the specific
		Kāinga Ora supports the other hazard maps,	hazard type and form that is being managed in the PDP
		i.e. Coastal Hazards, Tsunami Hazards and	i.e. high flood hazard area, overland flowpath, flooding
		Fault Rupture Zones being included within the	predicted to occur in a 0.5% AEP (1 in 200-year) rainfall or
		PDP planning maps as the location of these	breakout events, 1% AEP (1 in 100-year) Storm Surge
		hazards is more certain.	Event concurrent with a 5% AEP (1 in 20 year) River Flow
			Event with sea level rise based on an RCP8.5 climate
		An alternative relief is proposed.	change scenario that will be identified through a flood
			assessment;
		Spatial identification of flood hazard areas	3. Recognise that large areas of the urban environment are
		should be made available through a set	in High Hazard Area but that residential and commercial
		of non-statutory flood hazard maps, which	activities are anticipated and as such sensitive activities
		would operate as interactive maps on the	should be considered as discretionary, rather than non-
		Council's GIS website – thereby operating as a	complying activities;
		separate mapping viewer to the statutory DP	4. Consequential changes to the numbering and naming of

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
		maps. This approach is different to that of the	provisions following changes sought throughout chapter.
		traditional means of displaying hazard	
		overlays on district plan maps and reflects	
		that these maps do not have regulatory	
		effect. The advantage of this approach is the	
		ability to operate a separate set of interactive	
		maps which are continually subject to	
		improvement and updates, outside of and	
		without a reliance on the Schedule 1 Resource	
		Management Act 1991 process. Kāinga Ora	
		notes that this is an approach taken by other	
		Councils around the country.	
Introduction text	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the introduction as	Amendments sought to give effect to the relief sought above —
		proposed with amendments reflected to the relief sought above.	related to the whole Natural Hazards chapter.
		Consistent with Kāinga Ora's overall	
		submission, Kāinga Ora opposes flooding	
		hazard information and overlays being	
		incorporated in the PDP, as these hazards are	
		dynamic and subject to constant change	
		through hazard mitigation works and	
		reshaping of ground contours.	
		Amendments are sought to reflect the above,	
		and also to assist in simplifying the	
		introduction text.	
Part 2: District Wi	de Matters – Natura	 Hazards: Objective	
NH-O1	Support		Retain as notified.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose	Submission	•
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
NH-O2		proposed.	
NH-O3			
NH-04			
	de Matters – Natural		
NH-P1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this policy as proposed.	Retain as notified.
NH-P2			
NH-P3			
NH-P4			
NH-P6			
NH-P7			
NH-P8			
NH-P11			
NH-P12			
NH-P13			
NH-P19			
Part 2: District Wi	de Matters – Natural	Hazards: Activity rules	
NH-R1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports these rules with	Amend to align with the relief sought from Kāinga Ora to the
NH-R2		amendments to the removal of the reference	Natural Hazards chapter, as outlined above.
NH-R3		to the flood assessment overlays outlined	
NH-R4		above.	Consequential amendments may be required to the rules and
NH-R5			standards to specifically outline the hazard areas and types that
NH-R6			the rules and standards apply.
NH-R3	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule,	Amend rule title for readability.
Natural hazard		however seek that the rule name be amended	
sensitive addition		to make it clearer exactly what it is	
to existing natural		permitting.	
hazard sensitive			
activities			
NH-R8	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
NH-R15	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 2: District Wi	de Matters – Natural	Hazards: Standards	
NH-S1	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes this standards and seeks	Amend to align with the relief sought from Kāinga Ora to the
		amendments to the removal of the reference	Natural Hazards chapter, as outlined above.
		to the flood assessment overlays outlined	
		above for the whole chapter.	Consequential amendments may be required to the rules and
			standards to specifically outline the hazard areas and types that
			the rules and standards apply.
Part 2: District Wi	de Matters – Natural	Hazards: Matters of Discretion	
NH-MD1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these matters of	Retain as notified.
NH-MD2		discretion.	
NH-MD3			

	ide Matters – Earthw		Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought
EW-01 EW-P1 to P6	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the objectives and policies as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 2: District Wi	ide Matters - Earthwo		
EW-P9 Earthworks and stockpiling	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seeks amendments to this rule. As drafted, it would not permit any stockpiling on a residential site if it is within 100m of a residential dwelling. This would require a number of typical residential site developments to apply for resource consent. Discharges associated with fugitive dust are more appropriately managed under the Canterbury Air regional Plan – refer Rule 7.32.	Where: 1. EW-S1 to EW-S7 are met; 2. any stockpile shall not exceed 250m ³ and 4m in height; and 3. the activity shall not be located within 20m of the bank of any river or lake, 50m from the margin of any wetland.;
Part 2: District Wid	e Matters - Earthwor	ks: Earthworks Standards	
EW-S1 General standards for earthworks	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the standards in Table EW-1 relating to the maximum volume or area of earthworks in any 12month period per site in the following zones: Local Centre Zone, Town Centre Zone, Neighbourhood Centre Zone, Medium Density Residential Zone, General Residential Zone and Settlement Zone	Retain as notified.
EW-S2 General setbacks	Oppose	The intent of this rule not clear and as drafted it will place unnecessary consent	<u>Delete</u> EW-S2

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
		requirements for relatively minor earthworks	
		(e.g., for foundations) if they are located	
		within 2m of a site boundary.	
EW-S3 Setbacks	Support in part	Kāinga Ora is generally supportive of setbacks	Amend as follows:
from water bodies		where earthworks are in close proximity to	
		water bodies. However, the setbacks	1. Earthworks shall not be undertaken:
		proposed are considered excessive for urban	a. within 20m from the bank of any stream, river identified in
		environments, in particular for any	NATC-SCHED1, NATC-SCHED2 or NATC-SCHED3; or
		unscheduled freshwater body or other water	b. within 50m of the edge of any wetland or lake.
		bodies. The setbacks should apply to	
		freshwater bodies identified in NATC-SCHED1,	
		NATC-SCHED2 or NATC-SCHED3 only.	
		A reduction in these setbacks is sought to	
		align with the setbacks identified in the NATC-	
	<u></u>	SCHED.	
EW-S4 Setback from	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the 3m root protection	Retain as notified.
root protection zone	<u></u> _	area for listed notable trees	
EW-S5 Excavation	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the maximum height of	Retain as notified.
and filling		1.5m above ground level and maximum depth	
		of 2m below ground level standards.	
	<u> </u>		
	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the	Retain as notified.
sediment control			
Castina /Cub	Command / Command		
	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
Part 2: District Wid	do Mattore		be required to give effect to the relief sought
		I Di a da la mattaga	
Part 2: District wid	de Matters – Genera	l District-wide matters	

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested		
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for		
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may		
			be required to give effect to the relief sought		
Part 2: District Wi	de Matters – Light				
Overall Chapter	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports the provisions outlined in the Light Chapter.	Retain as notified.		
Part 2: District Wi	de Matters – Signs				
Overall Chapter	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports the provisions outlined in the Sign Chapter.	Retain as notified.		
Part 2: District Wi	Part 2: District Wide Matters – Temporary activities				
Overall Chapter	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports the provisions outlined in the Temporary activities Chapter.	Retain as notified.		

	-		
Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
Part 2: District Wi			
Part 2: District Wi	de Matters – Genera	District-wide matters	
Part 2: District Wi	de Matters – Noise		
Overall Chapter and	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the noise corridor overlay	Kāinga Ora seeks changes consistent with its overall submission
Planning Maps		and related provisions within the Noise	on the PDP. Key areas of relief sought in the Noise chapter are
		Chapter. Amendments are sought to more	(but not limited to):
		clearly articulate the balance between	
		providing for noise generating activities,	1. Removal of any mapped Noise Overlay and Airport Noise
		whilst appropriately managing effects on the	contour maps;
		community.	2. The Noise chapter provisions are amended in its entirety;
			3. Consequential changes to the numbering and naming of
		Kāinga Ora notes that the noise corridor	provisions following changes sought throughout chapter
		overlay maps do not reflect the distances	and PDP.
		prescribed in the rules/standards in relation	
		to the State Highway and railway. Deletion	
		sought.	
		Kāinga Ora considers that additional	
		requirements in relation to indoor noise	
		design levels results in an unnecessary and	
		overly restrictive burden for landowners,	
		without a corresponding burden on	
		infrastructure providers to manage effects to	
		adjacent land uses generated by the	
		operation of infrastructure. There are more	
		balanced and less onerous ways in which	
		potential interface issues can be managed.	
		Kāinga Ora opposes all aspects of the chapter	
		managing vibration effects. Introducing	
		provisions on vibration effects adds	

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
		considerable cost for compliance and relies on	
		a Standard that is not publicly available. It also	
		requires specialist vibration assessment,	
		which is not commonly available (including	
		within Council in-house expertise).	
		Setback distances from State Highway and	
		Rail for the management of reverse sensitivity	
		noise effects will mitigate vibration effects.	
		Kāinga Ora also seeks the deletion of the	
		Aircraft noise provisions in full including any	
		mapped noise overlays and contour maps.	
		Kāinga Ora seeks that the relevant Airport	
		designation(s) is included in the PDP along	
		with any proposed noise contour overlay and	
		provisions, otherwise the relevant provisions	
		should be deleted.	

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: District V			
		sion Wāwāhia whenua	
	Vide Matters - Subdivis		
Introduction	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the introduction as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 2: District V	Vide Matters - Subdivis	sion: Objectives	
Sub-01	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Sub-02	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Sub-03	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 2: District V	Vide Matters - Subdivi	sion: Policies	
Sub-P1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this policy with amendments.	Amend, as follows: Design and amenity
			Enable subdivision that: 1. within Residential Zones, incorporates best practice urban design, access to open space, and CPTED principles; 2. minimises reverse sensitivity effects on infrastructure including through the use of setbacks; 3. manage avoids subdivision that restricts or compromises the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid; 4. where appropriate, recognises and provides for the expression of cultural values of mana whenua and their connections in subdivision design; and 5. supports the character, amenity values, anticipated form and function for the relevant zone.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Sub-P2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy as proposed. Amendment sought to align with rule framework in residential chapters and seeks the reference of densities deleted.	Amend, as follows: Ensure that allotment layout, size and dimensions: 1. in Residential Zones: a. enables a variety of allotment sizes to cater for different housing types and densities to meet housing needs; b. supports the achievement of high quality urbandesign principles for multi-unit residential development; 2. in Rural Zones
(New Policy)	Support	Kāinga Ora seeks the introduction of a new policy to enable subdivision in accordance with an approved land use resource consent or building consent, especially for residential development that have undergone and been approved via a consenting process.	Insert new policy as follows: Subdivision in the Residential Zones in Accordance with an Approved Land Use Consent or Building Consent Provide for subdivision around existing or approved residential development where it enables creation of sites for uses that are in accordance with an approved land use resource consent or building consent.
Sub-P3	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy as proposed. Amendment sought to better reflect that it might not always be possible to 'ensure' sustainable design outcomes and matters listed under Sub-P3(3)ad. could be promoted and undertaken where appropriate generally not in all cases.	Amend, as follows: Sustainable design Ensure that <u>sS</u> ubdivision design that seeks to: 1. maximises solar gain, including through: 3. Where appropriate, promotes:

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Sub-P4	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this policy as proposed.	Retain as notified.
			·
Sub-P5	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks the deletion of this policy. The proposed policy should be reviewed against other policies listed in this chapter. The outcome of the review should either see the removal of the policy or other policies in this chapter amended to account for the outcome sought by this policy. Remove reference to density.	Delete Sub-P5 Policy.
Sub-P6 to Sub P-10	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these policies as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 2: General Di	istrict-wide Matters	: Subdivision – Rules	
Sub-R1	Support	Kāinga Ora support this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Sub-R2	Support in part.	Kāinga Ora generally support the rule as proposed. Amendment is sought to introduce the word 'Vacant' to describe the standard. This is to clarify the relationship between the creation of vacant sites through subdivision, and the establishment of reduced site sizes that are deemed acceptable through an approved land use consent for residential development. Consequential and further amendments are	Amend, as follows: Vacant Site Subdivision
		sought for consistency to the amendments	

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		sought to the Residential zone framework in the PDP. Kāinga Ora seeks this change in-conjunction with the relief sought to introduce a new subdivision activity to provide for 'subdivision in accordance with an approved land use consent'.	
Sub-R3 Sub-R5 Sub-R6 Sub-R7	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the rules as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Sub-R4	Oppose	flood assessment overlay and non-urban flood assessment overlay as part of the PDP. Kāinga Ora seeks amendments to the changes sought in the Natural Hazards chapter and any	Amend to align with the relief sought from Kāinga Ora to the Natural Hazards chapter, as outlined above. Consequential amendments may be required to the rules and standards to specifically outline the hazard areas and types that the rules and standards apply.
New Rule	Support	Kāinga Ora seeks the introduction of new rules with matters of control and notification provision to enable subdivision in accordance with an approved land use resource consent or building consent, especially for residential	Insert new rule as follows: Subdivision in the Residential Zones in Accordance with an Approved Land Use Consent or Building Consent

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		development that have undergone or been approved via a consenting process.	Where: 1. Any subdivision relating to an approved land use consent or building consent must comply with that resource consent or building consent. Matters of control/discretion are restricted to: SUB-MCD6 Notification: An application for a controlled activity under this rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified.
Part 2: General Di	strict-wide Matters:	Subdivision – Standards	
Sub-S1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this standard as proposed. Amendment sought to Table SUB-S1 to align with rule framework for subdivision of sites with more than one unit where a resource consent has been obtained. Kāinga Ora supports having no minimum allotment area, internal square or frontage requirement in the Town Centre, Neighbourhood Centre, Local Centre and Mixed-Use Zones.	 Amend, as follows: Table SUB-1: Minimum allotment sizes and dimensions The following shall apply: For unit title or cross-lease allotments, the allotment area shall be calculated per allotment over the area of the parent site. Minimum areas and dimensions of allotments in Table SUB-1 for Commercial and Mixed Use Zones, Industrial Zones and Residential Zones shall be the net site area. Allotments for unstaffed infrastructure, excluding for any balance area, are exempt from the minimum site sizes in Table SUB-1.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for su	ubmission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
				The standards in Table Sub-1 do not apply to residential development where land use consent has been approved for more than one residential unit on a site.
				(For changes to the Table SUB-1, refer below)
Zone Residential	Minimum allotmo	ent area	Internal square	Frontage (excluding rearlots)
Zones General Residential Zone	300m ² -500m ²		15m x 15m <u>10m x 15m</u>	15m 10m
Medium density residential zone	200m² (vacant lot No minimum for r development who	multi-unit resident	n/a tial	n/a
	statement and lar been submitted a	nd use consent ha	ve -	
Sub-S1	Support in part	proposed. Ame	erally supports this standard as endment sought to the activity mpliance not achieved in the	Amend, as follows: Activity status when compliance not achieved:
			y Residential Zone.	 In the Medium Density Residential Zone: RDIS aAny Industrial Zone and Special Purpose Zone (Kaiapoi Regeneration): DIS

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			3. In any other zone: NC Matters of control/discretion are restricted to:
			SUB-MCD1 - Allotment area and dimensions SUB-MCD2 - Subdivision design SUB-MCD3 - Property access SUB-MCD4 - Esplanade provision SUB-MCD6 - Infrastructure SUB-MCD7 - Mana whenua SUB-MCD7 - Mana whenua SUB-MCD10 - Reverse sensitivity SUB-MCD13 - Historic heritage, culture and notable trees Notification An application for a restricted discretionary activity under this rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified.
Sub-S12	Support	Kāinga Ora support this standard as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Sub-S14	Support	Kāinga Ora support this standard as proposed.	Retain as notified.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 2: General D	istrict-wide Matters:	Subdivision – Matters of Control and Discretion	1
Sub-MCD1 Sub-MCD2 Sub-MCD3 Sub-MCD4 Sub-MCD5 Sub-MCD6 Sub-MCD7 Sub-MCD8	Support	Kāinga Ora support these matters of control and discretion as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Sub-MCD9	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes this matter of control and discretion as proposed, in conjunction with the relief sought to the noise chapter.	Delete the matter of control and discretion.
Sub-MCD10	Support in part	Kāinga Ora support this matter of control and discretion with amendments. This matter of control and discretion applies only in the rural environment.	Amend, as follows: Reverse sensitivity effects in the rural environment 1. Any need to provide a separation distance for any residential unit or minor residential unit from existing activities, and any need to ensure that subsequent owners are aware of potential reverse sensitivity issues from locating near lawfully established rural activities, including but not limited to intensive farming.
Sub-MCD11	Support in part	discretion with amendments. This matter of	Amend, as follows: Effects on or from the National Grid 1. The extent to which the subdivision allows

the safe distance required Zealand Electricity Code Distances. 2. The provision for the one maintenance, developmed Grid, including the ability to existing transmission and upgrading.	is shown in red as strikethrough addition. Consequential to give effect to the relief sought.
visual and reverse sensite through the location of platforms. 4. The extent to which the the subdivision allows for the National Grid, include adverse effects on, and public safety effects to be avoided, remedied or melocation of roads and reflines. 5. The nature and location planted in the vicinity of the outcome of any concept and Limited. 7. The extent to which the the National Grid and in platforms.	ment and upgrade of the National ty for continued reasonable access a lines for maintenance, inspections rential adverse effects (including tivity effects, if any,) are mitigated an identified building platform or a design and construction of for activities to be set back from ding the ability to ensure from, the National Grid and on the and property are appropriately nitigated, for example, through the esserves under the transmission of any proposed vegetation to be find the National Grid. In sultation with Transpower New a subdivision plan clearly identifies dentified building platform or verse effects from the National Grid

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			outstanding natural features, areas of high natural character and areas of high recreation value and amenity and existing sensitive activities is avoided. 9. The extent to which adverse effects from the National Grid on urban amenity and centres are minimised. 10. The extent to which reasonably possible, manage activities to avoid reserve sensitivity effects on the National Grid including the operation, maintenance, upgrading, and development of the National Grid is not compromised.
Sub-MCD12	Support	Kāinga Ora support this matter of control and discretion as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Sub-MCD13	Support	Kāinga Ora support this matter of control and discretion as proposed.	Retain as notified.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
Part 3: Area Speci	ific Matters		
Part 3: Area Spec	ific Matters – Genera	Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zone	es es
Part 3: Area Speci	ific Matters - General	Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zone	s: Introduction
Introduction	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the introduction subject	Amend as follows:
		to minor edits for accuracy and reflect the	
		revised provisions, including the	The key difference between the General Residential Zone and
		recommendation to remove minimum density	Medium Density Residential Zone is housing density the
		requirements.	anticipated built form within each zone, with the latter
			providing for greater building height and site coverage in
			contrast to the General Residential Zone. The Medium
			Density Residential Zone is located within walkable distance
			to town centres, schools, open space and transport routes.
			The Settlement Zone differs from both of these zones,
			providing for a greater range of commercial activity, as the
			settlements do not have their own business zones. The Large
			Lot Residential Zone provides for very low density rural
			residential living opportunities with an open, spacious
			character
Part 3: Area Speci	ific Matters - General	Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zone	s: Objectives
RESZ-O1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seeks amendments to better	Amend as follows:
		align with NPS-UD Policy 2 and 3.	
			Residential growth, location and timing
			Sustainable Residential growth that:
			1. provides enables more housing in appropriate locations to
			meet demand over the short, medium and long-term in a timely
			manner according to growth needs;
			2. is responsive to community and district needs; and
			enables new development, as well as redevelopment of existing
			Residential Zones.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought
RESZ-O2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy but seeks amendments to remove ambiguity.	Amend as follows:
			Residential development sustainability
			Efficient and sustainable use and development of
			residential land and infrastructure is provided through
			appropriate location of development and its design.
RESZ-O3	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports the intent of this policy however seeks amendments to	Amend as follows:
		make the outcomes sought by the policy more	Residential form, scale, and design and amenity values
		tangible by focusing on built form.	Development is in keeping with the anticipated built form of the
			applicable residential zone.
			A form, scale and design of development that:
			1. achieves a good quality residential environment that is attractive and functional;
			2. supports community health, safety and well-being;
			3. maintains differences between zones; and
			manages adverse effects on the surrounding environment.
RESZ-O4	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy but seeks amendments to provide greater clarity.	Amend as follows:
			Non-residential activities
			Small-scale non-residential activities that take place in
			residential areas support the function of local communities.
			Non-residential activities are compatible with the scale and
			intensity of development anticipated by the applicable zone
			and maintain the amenity of the neighbourhood.
RESZ-O5	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy but	Amend as follows:

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
		seeks amendments to provide greater clarity	
		and better reflect the NPS-UD (Part 3 –	Housing choice
		Implementation)	A wide range of housing typologies and sizes are provided to
			ensure choice for the community and to cater for population
			growth and changing demographics.
			Residential Zones provide for the needs of the community
			through:
			1. a range of residential unit types; and
			2. a variety of residential unit densities.
Part 3: Area Spe	cific Matters - Genera	Objectives and Policies for all Residential Zone	es: Policies
RESZ-P1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seeks amendments to this policy. It	Amend as follows:
		is considered too prescriptive. The details are	
		adequately covered by relevant rules and	
		matters of discretion.	Design of development
			Built form provides quality on-site residential amenity for
			residents and adjoining sites, and achieves attractive and safe
			streets and public open spaces.
			New development in residential areas is well designed and laid
			out, including by:
			1. ensuring that the bulk, scale and location of buildings on
			sites is consistent with the environment anticipated for
			sites is consistent with the environment anticipated for the zone, and that impacts in relation to dominance,
			·
			the zone, and that impacts in relation to dominance, privacy and shadowing are minimised, while recognising
			the zone, and that impacts in relation to dominance,
			the zone, and that impacts in relation to dominance, privacy and shadowing are minimised, while recognising the ability for larger sites in the General Residential Zone and MediumDensity Residential Zone to absorb greater
			the zone, and that impacts in relation to dominance, privacy and shadowing are minimised, while recognising the ability for larger sites in the General Residential Zone and MediumDensity Residential Zone to absorb greater height;
			the zone, and that impacts in relation to dominance, privacy and shadowing are minimised, while recognising the ability for larger sites in the General Residential Zone and MediumDensity Residential Zone to absorb greater

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			provide opportunity for on-sitestormwater infiltration,
			and where this is reduced that it is offset by suitable
			planting, other greensurface treatment, and
			stormwater attenuation;
			3. maintaining streetscapes in Residential Zones where
			garaging and buildings are set back from thestreet, and
			where these setbacks are reduced, that sufficient space is
			still available for vehicle manoeuvring and impacts of
			dominance on the streetscape are minimised;
			4. facilitating passive surveillance and active residential-
			frontages through controls on glazing, avoidance of blank
			facades, provision of habitable rooms and front door
			entrances to residential units facing the street, and
			consider modification of those controls only where other
			active design features such as verandas are incorporated;
			5. minimising the adverse impact of high fences on
			streetscape character and public safety; and
			6. ensuring that residential activities are provided with-
			sufficient on-site outdoor living space for residents
			0 1
			through access to outdoor living space that is
			complements the housing typology, or where not directly
			provided, take into account alternative arrangements for
			open space (either within the site or within close
			proximity to the site).
RESZ-P2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora opposes this policy – the outcomes	Delete RESZ-P2 in its entirety.
		sought can be adequately achieved through GRZ-P1.	
RESZ-P3	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks the deletion of this policy as this matter is already covered by RESZ-P1 as amended.	Delete RESZ-P3 in its entirety.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought
		Management of noise, signs, glare, etc are managed by other parts of the plan.	
RESZ-P4	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks that this policy be deleted as it has no methods of implementation.	Delete RESZ-P3 in its entirety.
RESZ-P5	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this policy which assists the supply of commercial space in Rangiora Town Centre.	Retain as notified.
RESZ-P6	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seeks amendments to align with comments on RESZ-P3.	Amend as follows: Non-residential activities
			Non-residential activities are provided for in a manner that: 1. avoids, or where appropriate remedies or mitigates, actual and potential adverse effects from structures, signs, glare, noise and hazardous substances, including controls on timing or duration of activities; 2. ensures that the scale of the activity does not significantly impact on the amenity values of adjoining residential activities, including their pleasantness and aesthetic coherence; and 3. recognise that the following some non-residential activities serve a benefit to the surrounding community and are provided for, subject to appropriate management of their effects: a. community facilities; b. educational facilities; and c. childcare facilities.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
RESZ-P7	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this policy as proposed.	Retain as notified.
RESZ-P8	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seeks amendments to align with its	Amend as follows:
		focus on enabling development around built	
		form.	Housing choice
			Enable a range of housing typologies that achieve the residential built
			form anticipated for each zone.
			Enable a range of residential unit types, sizes and densities
			where:
			1. good urban design outcomes are achieved; and
			2. development integrates with surrounding residential areas
			and infrastructure.
RESZ-P11	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this policy as proposed.	Retain as notified.
RESZ-P13	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks a deletion of P13 which	Delete RESZ-P13 in its entirety
		relates to the location of higher density	,
		housing as it is more appropriately addressed	
		under MRZ-O1 and GRZ-P1.	
RESZ-P14	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the setting of minimum	Delete minimum net density requirements or if not, amend to a
		net density required for new Development	higher household per ha requirement.
		Areas and seeks these are amended.	

Section/Sub- section/Provision Part 3: Area Speci	Support/Support in Part/Oppose fic Matters	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought
	fic Matters – General	Residential Zone	
Part 3: Area Speci	fic Matters - General	Residential Zone: Introduction	
Introduction	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the introduction subject to minor edits for accuracy and clarity.	Amend as follows: The purpose of the General Residential Zone is to provide for residential areas predominantly used for residential activity, with a mix of building types, and other compatible activities that provide for maintenance or enhancement of residential amenity values. Activities provided for include community facilities, health care facilities, places of assembly and other activities that are at a scale and generate a range of effects that is consistent with residential environment character. The General Residential Zone makes up the majority of the residential areas in the District, with development at a general suburban density, including the towns of Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Oxford, Woodend, and Pegasus, as well as the development of new greenfield areas.
Part 3: Area Speci	fic Matters - General	Residential Zone: Objective	
GRZ-O1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this objective subject to replacing the reference from 'suburban' to 'urban' and removing reference to 'larger' to better reflect the anticipated character of the zone.	Amend as follows: General Residential Zone A general suburban residential zone with a range of larger site sizes providing for predominantlyresidential use.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
Part 3: Area Spec	ific Matters – Genera	Residential Zone: Policies	
GRZ-P1	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks wholesale changes to this policy to align with the NPS-UD and better	Amend as follows:
		describe the character and amenity anticipated for the zone	Residential character and amenity values
			Provide for activities and structures that support and maintain
			the character and amenity values anticipated for the zone-
			which: 1. provides for suburban character on larger sites primarily with
			detached residential units; 2. provides for a pleasant residential environment, in
			particular minimising the adverse effects of night time
			noise, glare and light spill, and limited signs;
			3. provides opportunities for multi-unit residential development on larger sites;
			4. has sites generally dominated by landscaped areas, with oper
			spacious streetscapes;
			5. through careful design provides a range of higher density
			living choices to be developed within thezone; and
			6. provides for small scale commercial activity that
			services the local community, and home businesses-
			at a scale consistent with surrounding residential
			character and amenity values.
			Enable development that is consistent with the anticipated built
			form of the General Residential Zone by controlling:
			a. The design and layout of four or more dwellings in order
			to:
			i. Achieve the planned built form of the zone;
			ii. Achieve attractive and safe streets and public
			<u>open spaces;</u>

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			iii. Manage the effects of development on adjoining
			neighbouring sites, including visual amenity,
			privacy and access to daylight and sunlight; and
			iv. Achieve high quality onsite living environments.
			b. Building height, bulk and location;
			c. Site coverage and outdoor living space;
			d. Setbacks from boundaries; and
			e. <u>Height in relation to boundary.</u>
GRZ-P2	Support	Kāinga Ora support this policy.	Retain as notified.
Part 3: Area Spec	ific Matters - General	Residential Zone: Rules	
GRZ-R1	Support	Kāinga Ora support the approach taken to built form standards.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R2	Support in part		Amend as follows:
		Kāinga Ora does not support the current rule	
		framework, whereby multi-unit residential	Activity status: PER
		development is considered under a separate	
		rule (GRZ-R19).	Where:
			1. No more than three residential units are established on the
		Kāinga Ora seeks integration of rule GRZ-R19 with GRZ-R2.	<u>site.</u>
			Activity status: RDIS
		Consistent with its overarching submission,	
		Kāinga Ora oppose the definition of "multi-unit	Where:
		residential development" and corresponding	2. More than three residential units are established on the
		rule frameworks.	<u>site.</u>
		Consequential changes are sought throughout	Matters of discretion are restricted to: RES-MD2 -
		the PDP to reflect this.	Residential design principlesRES-MD7

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			- Outdoor storage
			<u>Notification</u>
			An application for a restricted discretionary activity
			under this rule is precluded from being publicly or
			limited notified.
			Activity status when compliance not achieved: N/A
GRZ-R3	Support	Kāinga Ora support the standards that apply to minor residential units.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R4	Support	Kāinga Ora support the permitted residential activity rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R5	Oppose	These activities are permitted under earthworks provisions and are considered unnecessary.	Delete GRZ-R5 in its entirety.
GRZ-R6	Support	Kāinga Ora support the standards that apply to accessory buildings or structures.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R7	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule subject to a change of activity status for a breach to	Amend as follows:
		· ·	Activity status when compliance not achieved: DISRDIS
			Matters of discretion are restricted to:
			RES-MD#
			Res-MD# Use of Residential Unit as a Boarding House, Visitor
			Accommodation or Home Business
			Effects on character and amenity values of the residential area.
			2. Parking and access; safety, efficiency, and impacts

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
Section/Provision	пт Раг с/ Оррозе		deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			on street parking and neighbours.
			3. Effects arising due to non-compliance with scale.
GRZ-R8	Support	Kāinga Ora support this rule.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R9	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule subject	t Amend as follows:
		to a change of activity status for a breach to	
		RDIS to better reflect the anticipated activity within the MRZ.	Activity status when compliance not achieved: DISRDIS
			Matters of discretion are restricted to:
			RES-MD#
			Res-MD# Use of Residential Unit as a Boarding House, Visitor
			Accommodation or Home Business
			Effects on character and amenity values of the
			residential area.
			2. Parking and access; safety, efficiency, and impacts
			on street parking and neighbours.
			3. Effects arising due to non-compliance with scale.
GRZ-R10	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule subjec	t <u>Amend</u> as follows:
		to a change of activity status for a breach to	
		RDIS to better reflect the anticipated activity	Where:
		within the GRZ.	
			7. the activity does not include any food and beverage
		Amendments also required to clarify the	outlet, funeral related services and facility, heavy
		relationship between clauses 7 and 8.	industry, vehicle sales, or vehicle repair, storage or
		RDIS to better reflect the anticipated activity within the GRZ. Amendments also required to clarify the	 the activity does not include any food ar outlet, funeral related services and facili

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought
			8. the home business involves paid childcare, a maximum of four non-resident children shall be cared for.
			Activity status when compliance not achieved: DISRDIS
			Matters of discretion are restricted to: RES-MD#
			Res-MD# Use of Residential Unit as a Boarding House, Visitor Accommodation or Home Business
			 Effects on character and amenity values of the residential area. Parking and access; safety, efficiency, and impacts on street parking and neighbours.
			3. Effects arising due to non-compliance with scale.
GRZ-R11	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R12	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R13	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R14	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R15	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R19	Oppose	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule but seeks changes so that the rule only applies when there are more than three units	Delete GRZ-R19 in its entirety

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought
		proposed. This aligns with the changes sought to <i>GRZ-R2</i> .	
		As noted at GRZ-R2 – Kāinga Ora opposes "multi-unit residential development" being its own rule and instead seeks its integration with GRZ-R2. Deletion of this rule is sought, with the matters noted in Kāinga Ora comments on Rule GRZ-R2 being incorporated.	
GRZ-R20	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
GRZ-R21	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 3: Area Speci	ific Matters - Genera	Residential Zone – Built Form Standards	
GRZ-BFS1	Oppose	Kāinga Ora oppose this standard. Land Use density limitations do not sufficiently enable residential intensification, and unduly restrict the intensification of residential land. Reliance on built form standards and enabling provisions for more than one unit on a site are considered more appropriate.	
GRZ-BFS2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports these standards but seeks that any non-compliance is	Amend as follows:
GRZ-BFS3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Activity status when compliance not achieved: DISRDIS

Section/Sub- section/Provision GRZ-BFS4	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	anticipated built form of the zone and localised effects associated with any breach.	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought Matters of discretion are restricted to: RES-MD5 - Impact on neighbouring property Notification An application underthis rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but maybe limited notified.
GRZ-BFS5	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this standard, but requests amendments. Garages need only be setback if the vehicle door faces the road. Otherwise design issues are covered by the street interface rule below. Deletion of standard seeking to control vegetation and structures on corner sites considered to be unworkable. Adequate visibility at intersections is typically provided by footpaths and road berms.	Amend as follows: 1. Any building or structure other than a garage shall beset back a minimum of 2m from any road boundary (other than a strategic road or arterial road boundary where the minimum setback shall be 6m) except for: a. any fence permitted by GRZ-BFS8; b. poles and masts up to 6.5m in height above groundlevel; c. structures other than a fence, less than 10m² and less than 3m in height above ground level; d. any caravan; e. the replacement, maintenance and minor upgrading of any infrastructure; and any structure or residential unit adjoining an accessway that does not have doors or windowsthat open into that accessway. 2. Any garage with a vehicle door that faces the street shall be set back a minimum of 6m from the road boundary. 3. Any building or structure shall be set back a minimumof 1m from any internal boundary, except that buildingson adjoining sites which share a common wall, the internal setback shall not apply along that part of the internal boundary covered by such a wall.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			 4. On corner sites, vegetation or structures exceeding1m in height above ground level shall not be locatedwithin the structure and vegetation setback area identified by Figure GRZ-1. 5. All buildings shall be set back a minimum of 4m fromany site boundary with the rail corridor. Figure GRZ-1: Structure and Vegetation Setback
GRZ-BFS6	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seek and amendment to this standard.	Amend as follows:
		Any requirement to have a door face a street is overly prescriptive and not always appropriate. The glazing requirement is adequate. Change the notification clause so there is no public or limited notification.	 Where the site has direct road frontage, any residential unit or minor residential unit facing the road shall: have at least one habitable room or kitchen locatedfacing the street at ground level; and include at least 20% 15% of the front façade in glazing (within window or door panels) of which at least half is clear; and shall have a door that is directly visible and accessible from the street. Garage doors that face the street shall have acombined maximum width of 6.5m.
			Notification An application for a restricted discretionary activity underthis- rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but maybe limited notified.
			An application for a restricted discretionary activity under this rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified.

Section/Sub- section/Provision GRZ-BFS7	Support/Support in Part/Oppose Support	Submission Kāinga Ora supports this standard as	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought Retain as notified
GRZ-BFS8	Support in part	proposed. Kāinga Ora generally support this standard But seeks that the requirement to have permeable fencing between 0.9m and 1.2m is deleted as it is considered unduly restrictive	Amend as follows: 1. All fencing or walls fronting the road boundary; or within2m of a site boundary with a public reserve, walkway or cycleway
		when compared to any perceived environmental effects.	shall be: a. no higher than 1.2m above ground level; or b. where the site is a corner site, on one road boundary the height can be increased to 1.8m above ground level where at least 45% of the fenceis visually permeable. 2. Any fence greater than 0.9m in height above ground level shall be at least 45% visually permeable as depicted in Figure GRZ-2, within 5m of any accessway, or within the structure and vegetation setback area shown in Figure GRZ- 1.
GRZ-BFS9	Oppose	Kāinga Ora supports this standard in part, but seeks amendments to reflect the scale of activity anticipated in the zone and provide greater clarity about what can be included in the OLS. As currently drafted, a deck would potentially not be allowed in the OLS. Item 3 should be amended as per above. No further reduction is considered necessary.	Amend as follows: 1. For any residential unit: a. a minimum of 100m² 30m² of continuous outdoor living space able to contain a circle with a diameter of 8m 4m shall be provided within the site of a residential unit (except a residential unit in a retirement village); and b. the required outdoor living space shall not be occupied by any structure, driveway, or parkingspace, other than an outdoor swimming pool orwashing line. 2. For any minor residential unit:

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			a. a minimum of 15m² of continuous outdoor living space
			able to contain a circle with a minimum dimension of
			3m shall be provided an outdoor living space able to-
			contain a circle witha diameter of 6m shall be provided;
			and
			b. the required minimum area of outdoor living space shall
			not be occupied by any structure, driveway, orparking
			space, other than an outdoor swimming pool or washing
			line; and
			c. the required outdoor living space is not part of any
			required outdoor living space for the principal
			residential unit.
			d. The required minimum area of outdoor living space shall
			be free of driveways, manoeuvring areas, parking
			spaces, accessory buildings, and service areas.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
Part 3: Area Speci	fic Matters		
Part 3: Area Speci	fic Matters – Mediun	n Density Residential Zone	
Part 3: Area Speci	fic Matters - Medium	Density Residential Zone: Introduction	
Introduction	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the introduction subject to minor edits for accuracy and clarity.	Amend as follows: The purpose of the Medium Density Residential Zone is to
			provide for residential areas predominantly used for residential activity with moderate concentration and bulk of buildings, such as detached, semi-detached and terrace housing, low rise apartments and other compatible activities. Such areas are identified close to town and neighbourhood centres, along public transport corridors, or close to public transports transport stops and open space.
			The Medium Density Residential Zone is located in the township areas of Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Oxford, Woodend and Silverstream.
		Density Residential Zone: Objectives	
MRZ-O1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this objective subject to replacing the reference from 'suburban' to 'urban' to better reflect the anticipated character of the zone.	Amend as follows: Provision of medium density residential housing
			A higher density suburban residential zone located close to amenities with a range of housing typologies providing for predominantly residential use.
•		Density Residential Zone: Policies	1
MRZ-P1	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks wholesale changes to this policy to align with the NPS-UD and better describe the character and amenity	Amend as follows:

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought
		anticipated for the zone	Residential character and amenity values
			Provide for activities and structures that support and maintain the character and amenity values anticipated for the zone, which provides for:
			1. higher density living in areas with better access for walking to parks, main centres or local commercial centres:
			2. multi-unit redevelopment opportunities through flexible development controls and encouragementfor multi-site redevelopment;
			3. high quality building and landscape design for multi-unit- residential development with appropriatestreetscape- landscaping and positive contribution to streetscape-
			character; 4. provides for a peaceful residential environment, in particular minimising the adverse effects of night timenoise and outdoor lighting, and limited signs;
			 5. appropriate internal amenity within sites; 6. a mix of detached, semi-detached and multi-unit living; 7. small-scale commercial, or community-based activities, that service the local community, andhome
			businesses; and 8. a wider range of home business-based commercial activity in the Residential Commercial Precinctadjacent to Rangiora Town Centre.
			Enable the planned residential character and amenity of the Medium Density Residential Zone by controlling: a. The design and layout of four or more dwellings in order to:

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	n in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			i. Achieve the planned character of the zone;
			ii. Achieve attractive and safe streets and public
			open spaces;
			iii. Manage the effects of development on adjoining
			neighbouring sites, including visual amenity,
			privacy and access to daylight and sunlight; and
			iv. Achieve high quality onsite living environments.
			b. Building height, bulk and location;
			c. Site coverage and outdoor living space;
			d. <u>Setbacks from boundaries; and</u>
			e. <u>Height in relation to boundary.</u>
Part 3: Area Sp	ecific Matters - Mediu	m Density Residential Zone: Rules	
MRZ-R1	Support	Kāinga Ora support the approach taken to built form standards.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora does not support the current rule framework, whereby multi-unit residential	Amend as follows:
		development is considered under a separate rule (MRZ-R18).	Activity status: PER
			Where:
		Kāinga Ora seeks integration of rule MRZ-R18 with MRZ-R2.	No more than three residential units are established on the site.
			Activity status: RDIS
		Consistent with its overarching submission,	
		Kāinga Ora oppose the definition of "multi-uni	t
		Kāinga Ora oppose the definition of "multi-uni residential development" and corresponding	t <mark>Where:</mark>
		Kāinga Ora oppose the definition of "multi-uni	t

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			Residential design principles RES-MD7
			- Outdoor storage
			Notification
			An application for a restricted discretionary activity
			under this rule is precluded from being publicly or
			<u>limited notified.</u>
			Activity status when compliance not achieved: N/A
MRZ-R3	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R4	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R5	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks that this this rule be deleted in its entirety as these activities are permitted under the earthworks provisions.	
MRZ-R6	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R7	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule subject	Amend as follows:
		to a change of activity status for a breach to	
		RDIS to better reflect the anticipated activity within the MRZ.	Activity status when compliance not achieved: DISRDIS
			Matters of discretion are restricted to:
			RES-MD#
			(Insert new matter of discretion)
			Res-MD# Use of Residential Unit as a Boarding House, Visitor
			Accommodation or Home Business

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought 1. Effects on character and amenity values of the residential area. 2. Parking and access; safety, efficiency, and impacts on street parking and neighbours.
MRZ-R8	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	3. Effects arising due to non-compliance with scale. Retain as notified.
MRZ-R9	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule subject to a change of activity status for a breach to RDIS to better reflect the anticipated activity within the MRZ.	Activity status when compliance not achieved: DISRDIS Matters of discretion are restricted to: RES-MD# (Insert new matter of discretion) Res-MD# Use of Residential Unit as a Boarding House, Visitor Accommodation or Home Business 1. Effects on character and amenity values of the residential area. 2. Parking and access; safety, efficiency, and impacts on street parking and neighbours. 3. Effects arising due to non-compliance with scale.
MRZ-R10	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule subject to a change of activity status for a breach to RDIS to better reflect the anticipated activity within the MRZ.	Mhere:

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought
		Amendments also required to clarify the relationship between clauses 7 and 8.	 the activity does not include any food and beverage outlet, funeral related services and facility, heavy industry, vehicle sales, or vehicle repair, storage or dismantling; and the home business involves paid childcare, a maximum of four non-resident children shall be cared for. Activity status when compliance not achieved: DISRDIS Matters of discretion are restricted to: RES-MD# Res-MD# Use of Residential Unit as a Boarding House, Visitor Accommodation or Home Business Effects on character and amenity values of the residential area. Parking and access; safety, efficiency, and impacts on street parking and neighbours. Effects arising due to non-compliance with scale.
MRZ-R10	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R11	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R12	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R13	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
MRZ-R14	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R15	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this rule subject to	Amend as follows:
		correcting typographical error.	
			 the maximum GFA of building occupied by the
			educational facility health care facility shall be
			200m².
MRZ-R16	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R17	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MRZ-R18	Oppose	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule but seeks changes so that the rule only applies when there are more than three units proposed. This aligns with the changes sought to <i>MRZ-R2</i> . As noted at MRZ-R2 – Kāinga Ora opposes "multi-unit residential development" being its own rule and instead seeks its integration with MRZ-R2. Deletion of this rule is sought, with the matters noted in Kāinga Ora comments on Rule MRZ-R2 being incorporated.	
MRZ-R19	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule subject to amend residential design principles RES- MD2 (as above)	(Amend residential design principles RES-MD2 - as above)

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
MRZ-R20	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule subject to amend residential design principles RES- MD2 (as above)	be required to give effect to the relief sought Amend residential design principles RES-MD2 - as above
Part 3: Area Spec	ific Matters - Mediun	n Density Residential Zone – Built Form Standar	ds
MRZ-BFS1	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes this standard. Land Use density limitations do not sufficiently enable residential intensification, and unduly restrict the intensification of residential land. Reliance on built form standards and enabling provisions for more than one unit on a site are considered more appropriate.	
MRZ-BFS2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports these standards	Amend as follows:
MRZ-BFS3		but seeks that any non-compliance is restricted discretionary to better reflect the anticipated built form of the zone and	Activity status when compliance not achieved: DISRDIS
MRZ-BFS4		localised effects associated with any breach.	Matters of discretion are restricted to: RES-MD5 - Impact on neighbouring property Notification An application underthis rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but maybe limited notified.
MRZ-BFS5	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this standard, but requests amendments.	Amend as follows:
		Garages need only be setback if the vehicle door faces the road. Otherwise design issues are covered by the street interface rule below.	Any building or structure other than a garage shall beset back a minimum of 2m from any road boundary(other than a strategic road or arterial road boundary where the

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
		Deletion of standard seeking to control vegetation and structures on corner sites considered to be unworkable. Adequate visibility at intersections is typically provided by footpaths and road berms.	minimum setback shall be 6m) except for: a. any fence permitted by MRZ-BFS8; b. poles and masts up to 6.5m in height above groundlevel; c. structures other than a fence, less than 10m² and less than 3m in height above ground level; d. any caravan; e. the replacement, maintenance and minor upgrading of any infrastructure; and f. any structure or residential unit adjoining an accessway that does not have doors or windowsthat open into that accessway. 2. Any garage with a vehicle door that faces the street shall be set back a minimum of 6m fromthe road boundary. 3. Any building or structure shall be set back a minimumof 1m from any internal boundary, except that buildingson adjoining sites which share a common wall, the internal setback shall not apply along that part of the internal boundary covered by such a wall. 4. Habitable room windows within any residential unit onthe first floor or above shall avoid direct views into an adjacent residential unit located within 9m by: a. being offset by a minimum of 0.5m in relation to any existing window in an adjacent residential unit; or b. having sill heights of 1.5m above floor level; or c. having fixed obscure glazing below 1.5m abovefloor level. 5. On corner sites, vegetation or structures exceeding1m in height above ground level shall not be located within the structure and vegetation setback area identified by Figure MRZ-1. 6. All buildings shall be set back a minimum of 4m from any

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			site boundary with the rail corridor.
			Figure MRZ-1: Structure and Vegetation Setback
MRZ-BFS6	Support in part	Kāinga Ora seek and amendment to this standard.	Amend as follows:
		Any requirement to have a door face a street is overly prescriptive and not always appropriate. The glazing requirement is adequate. Change the notification clause so there is no public or limited notification.	 2. Where the site has direct road frontage, any residentialunit or minor residential unit facing the road shall: a. have at least one habitable room or kitchen locatedfacing the street at ground level; and b. include at least 20% 15% of the front façade in glazing (within window or door panels) of which at least half is clear; and c. shall have a door that is directly visible and accessible from the street.
			3. Garage doors that face the street shall have acombined maximum width of 6.5m.
			Notification
			An application for a restricted discretionary activity underthis
			rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but maybe limited notified.
			An application for a restricted discretionary activity under this
			rule is precluded from being publicly or limited notified.
MRZ-BFS7	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this standard but seek that HIRB is taken from 3m to better	Amend as follows:
		reflect the built form anticipated in the zone.	1. Structures shall not project beyond a building envelope

Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
		deletion and <u>underline</u> for addition. Consequential amendments may
		be required to give effect to the relief sought
		defined by recession planes measured 2.5m 3m from
		ground level above any site boundary in
		(Appendix APP3 needs updated accordingly)
Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally support this standard	Amend as follows:
	But seeks that the requirement to have permeable fencing between 0.9m and 1.2m is deleted as it is considered unduly restrictive when compared to any perceived environmental effects.	 3. All fencing or walls fronting the road boundary; or within2m of a site boundary with a public reserve, walkway or cycleway shall be: a. no higher than 1.2m above ground level; or b. where the site is a corner site, on one road boundary the height can be increased to 1.8m above ground level where at least 45% of the fenceis visually permeable. 4. Any fence greater than 0.9m in height above ground level
		shall be at least 45% visually permeable as depicted in Figure MRZ-2, within 5m of any accessway, or within the structure and vegetation setback area shown in Figure MRZ-1.
Oppose	Kāinga Ora supports this standard in part, but seeks amendments to reflect the scale of activity anticipated in the zone and provide greater clarity about what can be included in the OLS. As currently drafted a deck would potentially not be allowed in the OLS. Item 3 should be amended as per above. No further reduction is considered necessary.	 Outdoor living space shall be provided as follows: a. a minimum of 30m² 15m² of continuous outdoor living space able to contain a circle with a diameter of 4m 3m shall be contained at ground level within the site of the residential unit (except a residential unitwithin a retirement village) or b. a balcony of at least 10m² with a minimum depth of 1.5m. The required minimum area of outdoor living space shall not be occupied by any structure, driveway, or parking space, other than an outdoor swimming pool orwashing line Where outdoor living space is provided communally between two or more residential units under (1)(a), the
	in Part/Oppose Support in part	Support in part Kāinga Ora generally support this standard But seeks that the requirement to have permeable fencing between 0.9m and 1.2m is deleted as it is considered unduly restrictive when compared to any perceived environmental effects. Kāinga Ora supports this standard in part, but seeks amendments to reflect the scale of activity anticipated in the zone and provide greater clarity about what can be included in the OLS. As currently drafted a deck would potentially not be allowed in the OLS. Item 3 should be amended as per above. No

Section/Sub-	Support/Support	Submission	Relief sought / decision requested
section/Provision	in Part/Oppose		Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for
			deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may
			be required to give effect to the relief sought
			minimum outdoor living space shall be 25m² 15m ² for
			eachresidential unit.
			3. The required minimum area of outdoor living space shall be
			free of driveways, manoeuvring areas, parking spaces,
			accessory buildings, and service areas.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 3: Area Specif		usial and Missal Has Zanas	
		rcial and Mixed Use Zones General Objectives and Policies	
CMUZ Introduction		Kāinga Ora generally supports the	Retain as notified.
CIVIOZ III.I Oduction	δυρμοιτ	introductory text.	netum us notifieu.
CMUZ-O1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
CMUZ-O2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally support this objective but seeks an amendment to clause 4 for clarity.	Amend, as follows: A scale, form and design of development in all Commercial and Mixed Use Zones that: 4. manages adverse amenity effects on the surrounding adjoining residential environment zones.
CMUZ-P1 CMUZ-P2 CMUZ-P3 CMUZ-P4 CMUZ-P5 CMUZ-P6 CMUZ-P8	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports the policies as proposed.	Retain as notified.
CMUZ-P7	Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy, subject to providing flexibility for residential use in appropriate circumstances. The amended policy change reflects the relevant assessment matters in CMUZ-MD11 and the	Amend, as follows: Residential activities are: 1. Encouraged to locate above ground floor in all centres unless: Avoided on ground floors fronting or adjoining the street in Town Centres to maintain commercial activity at ground level

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission RDIS status in the rule framework. The use of 'avoid' is typically associated with noncomplying activity status.	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought. a. the site is not required to meet long-term needs for commercial floorspace; and/or b. the building containing the residential activity is designed and constructed to facilitate straightforward conversion
Part 3: District W	ide Matters – NCZ –C	ourhood Centre Zone Objectives and Policies	to commercial floorspace so as to not foreclose future options; and
NCZ-O1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
NCZ-P1	Support in part	kāinga Ora generally supports this policy subject to amendments. Kāinga Ora submits that [2] should be deleted as there is misalignment between its content and the preceding text 'Within Neighbourhood Centres:' The anticipated size of local and neighbourhood centres would be better placed in the General Objectives and Policies for all Commercial and Mixed Use Zones'. Activities anticipated within the centre are adequately addressed by [1] and subsequent activity rules and built form standards. An addition is sought to make it clear that residential activity above ground floor is enabled. An addition is sought to make it clear that residential activity above ground floor is	Amend, as follows: Design and integration Within Neighbourhood Centres: 1. enable a limited range of convenience activities that provide for the immediate residential neighbourhood and do not adversely affect the role and function of Town and Local Centres; 2. enable a range of Centre sizes that generally comprise up to 450m² total floor space and up to five shops with a maximum retail tenancy of 350m² GFA; 3. ensure activities are accessible by walking and cycling from the area served; and 4. adverse amenity effects are managed within the zone and at the interface with neighbouring more sensitive zones; and 5. enable residential activity.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters – NCZ –A	ctivity Rules	
NZC-R1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
NCZ-R8 Residential unit	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
NCZ-R9 Residential activity	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters – NCZ –B	uilt Form Standards	
NCZ-BFS1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports the 8m height limit where the NCZ adjoins the GRZ or LLRZ, however where it is located adjacent to the MRZ an increased height limit should be permitted that aligns with the MRZ height limit of 12m.	Amend BSF1 so that the maximum height is at least equal to the adjoining residential zone or provided at a maximum height of 12 metres. Activity status when compliance not achieved: DIS-RDIS Matters of discretion are restricted to: CMUZ-MD4 - Height in relation to boundary Notification An application for a restricted discretionary activity under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but may be limited notified.
NCZ-BFS2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports the use of height in relation to boundary controls as proposed, however some clarity is required.	 Mhere an internal boundary adjoins Residential Zones, Rural Zones, or Open Space and Recreation Zones, the height in relation to boundary for the adjoining zoneshall apply. and where specified, structures shall not project beyond a building envelope defined by recession planes measuring 2.5m from ground level above any site boundary in

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			accordance with the diagrams in Appendix APP3.
NCZ-BFS3 NCZ-BFS4 NCZ-BFS5 NCZ-BFS6 NCZ-BFS8 NCZ-BFS10	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these standards as proposed.	Retain as notified.
NCZ-BFS7	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this standard with amendments.	Amend, as follows: 1. All buildings shall be set back a minimum of 2m/4m from any site boundary with the rail corridor.
NCZ-BFS9 Residential Units	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule but seeks that minimum net floor area requirements for residential units be amended. Waimakariri is identified as a Tier 1 council in the NPS-UD and accordingly PDP provisions should be enabling of a variety of housing typologies without introducing unnecessary regulatory constraint.	Amend, as follows: 1. The minimum net floor area (excluding garages, balconies, and any communal lobbies stairwells andplant rooms) per residential unit shall be: a. studio 35m ² ; b. one or more bedrooms 45m ² ; c. two bedrooms 60m ² ; d. three or more bedrooms 90m ² .
BFS11 Building coverage	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the 55% building coverage standard as proposed.	Retain as notified.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
	ide Matters – Local Ce ide Matters – LCZ –Ob		
LCZ-O1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
LCZ-P1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this policy subject to amendments. Kāinga Ora submits that [2] should be deleted as there is misalignment between its content and the preceding text 'Within Local Centres:'. The anticipated size of local and neighbourhood centres would be better placed in the General Objectives and Policies for all Commercial and Mixed Use Zones'. Activities anticipated within the centre are adequately addressed by [1] and subsequent activity rules and built form standards. An addition is sought to make it clear that residential activity above ground floor is enabled.	Amend, as follows: Design and integration Within Local Centres: 1. enable commercial, community, convenience and service activities that provide for the daily/weekly shopping needs of the local residential or nearby rural catchment and do not adversely affect the role and function of Town Centres, nor undermine investment in their public amenities and facilities; 2. enable a range of Local Centres which, excluding the Woodend Local Centre, generally comprise 1,000m² to 4,000m² total floor space and up to 15 shops with a maximum retail tenancy of 350m² GFA; 3. ensure Local Centres are integrated into the transport system to promote efficient safe and accessible modal choice, and manage adverse effects on the operation of the transport system; and 4. adverse amenity effects are managed within the zone and at the interface with neighbouring moresensitive zones-; and 5. Enable residential activity.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 3: District Wid	de Matters – LCZ –Ac	tivity Rules	
LCZ-R1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
LCZ-R9 Residential unit	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
LCZ-R10 Residential activity	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 3: District Wie	de Matters – LCZ –Bu	ilt form standards	
LCZ-BFS1 Height	Oppose	Kāinga Ora supports the 10m height limit as proposed. Kāinga Ora is seeking amendments to increase maximum height in the local centre zone. Centres should be areas which are identified for growth and intensification. Encouraging greater height will contribute to making centres a vibrant focal point for communities. A 12m height limit will more comfortably provide for three stories.	 Amend, as follows: The maximum height of any building, calculated as per the height calculation, shall be 10m 12m above ground level. Activity status when compliance not achieved: DIS-RDIS Matters of discretion are restricted to: CMUZ-MD4 - Height in relation to boundary Notification An application for a restricted discretionary activity under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but may be limited notified.
LCZ-BFS2 Height in relation to boundary when adjoining Residential Zones,	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports the use of height in relation to boundary controls as proposed, however some clarity is required.	Amend, as follows: 1. Where an internal boundary adjoins Residential Zones, Rural Zones, or Open Space and Recreation Zones, the

Section/Sub- section/Provision Rural Zones or Open Space and Recreation Zones	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought. height in relation to boundary for the adjoining zoneshall apply_, and where specified, structures shall not project beyond a building envelope defined by recession planes measuring 2.5m from ground level above any site
			boundary in accordance with the diagrams in Appendix APP3.
LCZ-BFS7 Rail boundary setback	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this standard with amendments.	Amend, as follows: 1. All buildings shall be set back a minimum of 2m 4m from any site boundary with the rail corridor.
LCZ-BFS9 Residential Units	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule but seeks that minimum net floor area requirements for residential units be amended. Waimakariri is identified as a Tier 1 council in the NPS-UD and accordingly PDP provisions should be enabling of a variety of housing typologies without introducing unnecessary regulatory constraint.	Amend, as follows: 1. The minimum net floor area (excluding garages, balconies, and any communal lobbies stairwells and plant rooms) per residential unit shall be: a. studio 35m ² ; b. one or more bedrooms 45m ² ; c. two bedrooms 60m ² ; d. three or more bedrooms 90m ²
LCZ-BFS11 Building coverage	Opposes	Kāinga Ora seeks the proposed building coverage rule is deleted. Other standards will control the coverage and footprint of buildings.	Delete the standard in its entirety along with any references to the standard in any LCZ-rules.

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters – Mixed L	Jse Zone	
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters – MUZ –C	bjectives and Policies	
MUZ-O1 MUZ-O2	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the objectives as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MUZ-P1	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this policy with amendments.	Amend, as follows: Provide for a mixture of commercial and residential activities in the Mixed Use Zone where these: 1. support the Kaiapoi Town Centre's identified function, role and anticipated built form amenity values; 2. are of a scale, configuration or duration that do not result in strategic or cumulative effects on the efficient use and continued viability of the Kaiapoi Town Centre; and 3. support the ongoing regeneration of the Kaiapoi township.
MUZ-P2 Part 3: District Wi	Opposes de Matters – MUZ –A	Kāinga Ora seeks the proposed policy is deleted. The requirements for any assessments or development to be in accordance with an appendix should not be in a policy.	Delete the policy in its entirety.
MUZ-R1		-	Amend, as follows:
Construction or alte ration of or addition to any building or	Opposes	Kāinga Ora seeks the deletion of the GFA footprint.	Where:

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
other structure			 the activity complies with: a. all built form standards (as applicable); and b. any building or addition is less than 450m² GFA.
MUZ-R13 (Residential Unit) MUZ-R14 (Residential Activity)	Opposes)	Kāinga Ora seeks the deletion of the maximum GFA footprint rule in both MUZ-R13 and MUZ-R14. Residential unit and activity should be permitted in the Mixed Use Zone.	Delete the following in both MUZ-R13 and MUZ-R14: Where: 1. the activity shall comprise a maximum of 75% of the GFA of all buildings on the site.
MUZ-R15	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MUZ-R16	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
MUZ-R23	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks the deletion of this activity, as it can be captured under MUZ-R24.	Deletion Sought.
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters – MUZ –	Built Form Standards	
MUZ-BFS1 Height	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the maximum height at 15m and seeks the height is enabled up to 6 storeys (21 metres). This aligns with the direction of the NPSUD. Infringement to the height should be considered as a restricted discretionary activity.	Amend, as follows: 1. The maximum height of any building, calculated as per the height calculation, shall be 21m 15m above ground level. Activity status when compliance not achieved: DIS RDIS Matters of discretion are restricted to:

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			CMUZ-MD4 - Height in relation to boundary Notification An application for a restricted discretionary activity under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but may be limited notified.
MUZ-BFS2	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports the use of height in relation to boundary controls as proposed, however some clarity is required.	1. Where an internal boundary adjoins Residential Zones, Rural Zones, or Open Space and Recreation Zones, the height in relation to boundary for the adjoining zone shall apply., and where specified, structures shall not project beyond a building envelope defined by recession planes measuring 2.5m from ground level above any site boundary in accordance with the diagrams in Appendix APP3.
MUZ-BFS1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the standard as proposed with the deletion of 'internal' in the title. Similar amendments should be made throughout the PDP and zone provisions. The addition of 'internal' creates confusion.	Internal b Boundary setback
MUZ-BFS8 Residential units	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule but seeks that minimum net floor area requirements for residential units be amended. Waimakariri is identified as a Tier 1 council in the NPS-UD and accordingly PDP	Amend, as follows: 1. The minimum net floor area (excluding garages, balconies, and any communal lobbies stairwells and plant

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		provisions should be enabling of a variety of housing typologies without introducing unnecessary regulatory constraint.	rooms) per residential unit shall be: a. studio 35m ² ; b. one or more bedrooms 45m ² ; c. two bedrooms 60m ² ; d. three or more bedrooms 90m ²
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters – Town Co	entre Zone	
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters – TCZ –Ok	ojectives and Policies	
TCZ-O1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
TCZ-P1 TCZ-P2 TCZ-P3	Support	Kāinga Ora supports these policies as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters – TCZ –Ac	tivity Rules	I
TCZ-R1	Opposes	footprint.	Amend, as follows: Where:
			 the activity complies with: a. all built form standards (as applicable); and b. any building or addition is less than 450m² GFA. any new building or addition does not have frontage to a Principal Shopping Street.
TCZ-R16 Residential unit	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this rule with amendments.	Amend, as follows:

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
			Where:
			 any residential activity shall be above ground floor or located to the rear of commercial activities fronting the street a Principal Shopping Street.
TCZ-R17 Residential activity	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this rule with amendments.	Amend, as follows:
activity		amenuments.	Where:
			 any residential activity shall be above ground floor or located to the rear of commercial activities fronting the street a Principal Shopping Street.
TCZ-R20 Public transport facility	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Part 3: District Wie	de Matters – TCZ –Bu	uilt form standards	
TCZ-BFS1 Height	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the maximum height at 12 and 18m. Kāinga Ora seeks the height is enabled up to 6 storeys (21 metres). This aligns with the direction of the NPSUD. Infringement to the height should be considered as a restricted discretionary activity. This is a Town Centre Zone and town centres should enable the greatest degree of intensification and built form in Waimakariri.	Amend, as follows: 1. The minimum height of any building fronting a Principal Shopping Street shall be 5m above ground level. 2. The maximum height of any building, shall be: a. for Rangiora and Kaiapoi: i. 12m above ground level, except as specified under (ii) below;

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		Any mapping should identify areas that may be subject to variation to the maximum height rule and this can be a control in the PDP.	ii. 18m 21m above ground level, unless in identified areas shown on the planning map where: a. at least one floor is designed and used for residential activity aspart of a mixed-use commercial and residential development; and b. the maximum road wall height of any building shall be 12m; b. for all other areas, 12m above ground level. 3. All heights shall be calculated as per the height calculation. Activity status when compliance not achieved: DIS RDIS Matters of discretion are restricted to: CMUZ-MD4 - Height in relation to boundary Notification An application for a restricted discretionary activity under this rule is precluded from being publicly notified, but may be limited notified.
TCZ-BFS2 Height in relation to boundary when adjoining a street	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the standard. There should be no height in relation to boundary control when a lot adjoins a street. If there are concerns on the effects of a building height and form to accessways or service lanes, then the Council should introduce a	Delete the standard.

	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
		specific standard to accessways or service lanes only.	
TCZ-BFS3 Height in relation to boundary when adjoining Residential Zones, Rural Zones or Open Space and Recreation Zones		Kāinga Ora generally supports the use of height in relation to boundary controls as proposed, however some clarity is required.	Amend, as follows: 1. Where an internal boundary adjoins Residential Zones, Rural Zones, or Open Space and Recreation Zones, the height in relation to boundary for the adjoining zoneshall apply. and where specified, structures shall not project beyond a building envelope defined by recession planes measuring 2.5m from ground level above any site boundary in accordance with the diagrams in Appendix APP3.
TCZ-BFS8 Rail boundary setback	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this standard with amendments.	Amend, as follows: 2. All buildings shall be set back a minimum of 2.5m 4m from any site boundary with the rail corridor.
TCZ-BFS10 Residential Units	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this rule but seeks that minimum net floor area requirements for residential units be amended. Waimakariri is identified as a Tier 1 council in the NPS-UD and accordingly PDP provisions should be enabling of a variety of housing typologies without introducing unnecessary regulatory constraint.	Amend, as follows: 1. The minimum net floor area (excluding garages, balconies, and any communal lobbies stairwells andplant rooms) per residential unit shall be: a. studio 35m ² ; b. one or more bedrooms 45m ² ; c. two bedrooms 60m ² ; d. three or more bedrooms 90m ² .

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
Part 3: District Wi	de Matters: CMUZ: N	latters of Discretion for all Commercial and Mi	xed Use Zones
CMUZ-MD3 CMUZ-MD4 CMUZ-MD5 CMUZ-MD6 CMUZ-MD7 CMUZ-MD8 CMUZ-MD9	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
CMUZ-MD10 Acoustic insulation	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.
CMUZ-MD11 Residential development	Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports this matter of discretion however seeks the deletion of any reference to social housing.	Amend, as follows: Residential development 1. In relation any to ground floor habitable room in the Town, Local and Neighbourhood Centre zone 2. In relation to minimum unit size, the extent to which: a. the floor space available and the internal layout represents a viable residential unit that would support appropriate amenity values of current and future occupants and the surrounding neighbourhood; b. other onsite factors compensate for a reduction in unit sizes e.g. communal facilities; c. the balance of unit mix and unit sizes within the overall development is such that a minor

Section/Sub- section/Provision	Support/Support in Part/Oppose	Reason(s) for submission	Relief sought / decision requested Changes sought by Kāinga Ora is shown in red as strikethrough for deletion and underline for addition. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the relief sought.
CMUZ-MD13 Rail boundary setback	Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports this matter of control and discretion with amendments.	reduction in the area of a small percentage of the overall units may be warranted; d. the units are to be a part of a development delivered by the Crown of the Council as a social housing provider and have been specifically designed to meet atypical housing needs; and e. nature and duration of activities proposed may warrant a reduced unit size to operate e.g. very short term duration. Amend, as follows: Rail boundary setback 1. The extent to which the reduced setback will compromise the safe and efficient functioning of the rail network, including rail corridor access and maintenance. The location, size and design
			of the building as it relates to the ability to safely use, access and maintain buildings without requiring access on, or over the rail corridor.
CMUZ-MD16 CMUZ-MD18	Support	Kāinga Ora supports this rule as proposed.	Retain as notified.